COPY NO. 69

KOREAN WAR U. S. Pacific Fleet Operations

Commander in Chief U. S. Pacific Fleet Interim Evaluation Report No. 1

Period

25 June to 15 November 1950

VOLUME I



DECLASSIFIED

SECRET

SECURITY INTORMATION

VOLUME I

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

1.	MAIN	REPORT	

	ntre	word oduction r Feature							-	-		 _	-	-	_	-	-	-	viii
INDE	OF	INTERIM	EVAL	J AT IO	n ri	EPOF	RT NO	. 1	-	-	+ -	 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	I

DECLASSIFIED

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED

KOREAN WAR U. S. PACIFIC FLEET OPERATIONS

* * * *

COMMANDER IN CHIEF U. S. PACIFIC FLEET
INTERIM EVALUATION REPORT NO. 1
PERIOD

25 JUNE TO 15 NOVEMBER 1950

* * * *

MASTER INDEX I-XXXVI

DECLASSIFIED

NOI SECRET

KOREAN WAP

U. S. PACIFIC FLEET OPERATIONS

* * * * * * * * * * *

COMMANDER IN CHIEF U. S. PACIFIC FLEET INTERIM EVALUATION REFORT NO. 1

MASTER INDEX

Endurance and Range I.A.7. Load I.A.7. Performance I.A.7. Summary I.A.7. ASW (See anti-Submarine Warfare) Accounting and Financing, Logistics, Surface II.A.1. Administrative Command Diagram, Logistics, Surface - II.A.1. Administrative and Operational Command, Air Defense and ASW I.D.4. Administrative and Operational Command Diagrams Submarines I.D.4.	
Accounting and Financing, Logistics, Surface II.A.l. Administrative Command Diagram, Logistics, Surface - II.A.l. Administrative and Operational Command, Air Defense and ASW I.D.4. Administrative and Operational Command Diagrams	491 491 491 491
Administrative and Operational Command Diagrams	217 176
Cubmoning	.067
	.049 .243 550
active weapons	511 640 640 641 6641





II

MARKET THE LOCAL AND		
MASTER INDEX (Cont'd)	Descript No	
Defense Novel Aim (Cont.)	Project No.	
Defense, Naval Air (Cont'd) Recommendations	I.A.2.f. 32'	7
Recommendations	I.A.2.f. 32	
Weapons	I.A.2.f. 332	
Group Organization, Fast Carrier	I.A.2.a. 186	
Intelligence, Naval Air	I.A.5. 44'	
Basic information and materials	I.A.5. 45	
Augmentation of	I.A.5. 45	
Bibliography	I.A.5. 450	
Conclusions	I.A.5. 450	
Equipment	I.A.5. 450	
Historical summary	I.A.5. 45	
Introduction	T.A.5. 45	
Organization		
Personnel	I.A.5. 45	
Recommendations	I.A.5. 45	
Summary	I.A.5. 449	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.A.). 44	7
Lift Additional personnel	II.A.4. 1363	9
Additional personnel	II.A.4. 1361	
Area control center	II.A.4. 1359	
Area control center	II.A.4. 1363	
Chronology	II.A.4. 135	
Communication	II.A.4. 1360	
Between ground stations	II.A.4. 1359	
Equipment	II.A.4. 1360	
For air routes	II.A.4. 1359	
Conclusions	II.A.4. 1345	5
Control of flights	II.A.4. 1360	
Difficulty in facilities at Midway	II.A.4. 136	
Establishment of new airtransrons	II.A.4. 1362	
Facilities inadequate	II.A.4. 136	
Flight	11.00.40	-
Control and communications	II.A.4. 1360)
Equipment, air craft	II.A.4. 1358	
And material-general	II.A.4. 1359	
Hours	II.A.4. 136	
Service facilities	II.A.4. 136	
Inadequately written orders	II.A.4. 136	
Increase of work load	II.A.4. 1362	
In-flight rations problem	II.A.4. 1361	
Introduction	TT A . 4 . 135.	
Logistics, Marine air	T R 6	1
Supporting operations	II.A.4. 136	ī
Maintenance	II.A.4. 1362	2
	II.A.4. 1362	
	II.A.4. 136	
Personnel	TT . A . 4 . 1363	
Shortages	II.A.4. 1363	
Preparation of enlisted personnel	II.A.4. 1363	
Priority screening	II.A.4. 1363	
Recommendations	II.A.4. 1348	
Routes and schedules	II.A.4. 1357	
Seaplane facilities limited	II.A.4. 136	1
Summary	II.A.4. 1344	4
Traffic coordination and space control	II.A.4. 1365	5
Training	TT 4 1. 136/	
Transport squadrons	II.A.4. 1361	1
lies of expenienced pilots	TT A /. 136/	
Use of experienced priors	enditori contrati	
	und devis franchisch	
A STATE OF THE STA	rough pall, additions	
the contract of the contract o	or game documents by soil	

age / Side of Project

Dental			197 (17
	MASTER INDEX (Cont'd)		
		Project No.	
Air Lift (Cont'd)	Normal Adm	T 1 6	177
Logistics Operation	ns, Naval Air	- 1.A.O.	471
Naval Guniire Liais	son Company	- 1.D.I.	950
Navigation Equipmen	nt, Naval Air	- 1.A.7.	497
Operations, Escort	Carrier	- 1.A.Z.D.	177
rast carriers -	unications	= 1.A.Z.d.	1451
Search Radar, Commi	hip and Shore Bombardment -	- 11.D	401
Air Spotting for Si		- I.R.Z.J.	873
Command molations		- T C /	886
Communications -		- T.C./.	885
Conclusions		- T.C.4.	876
Marine amphibious	and ground	20040	873
D day	and ground	- I.C.4.	883
D-1		- I.C.4.	882
Execution		- I.C.4.	882
Intelligence		- I.C.4.	880
Introduction		- I.C.4.	879
Logistics and equip	oment	- I.C.4.	884
Operational command	diagram	- I.C.4.	879
Personnel		- I.C.4.	880
Planning		- I.C.4.	880
Preliminary operati	ion	- I.C.4.	882
Operations		- I.C.4.	880
Recommendations -		- I.C.4.	877
Summary		- 1.C.4.	875
Transport, Marine Air		- 1.B.2.c.	591
Bibliography		- 1.B.2.c.	608
Conclusions		- 1.B.Z.C.	594
Employment in Japan	n and Korea	- 1.B.Z.C.	602
General background		- 1.D.Z.C.	597
Historical summary		- 1.D.Z.C.	597
Introduction	tics	- I.D.Z.C.	597 605
Operational statis	CICS	- T R 2 c	594
recommendations -		- T B 2 C	593
Summary	is of VMR employment	- T.B.2.C.	606
Trans_Pacific emplo	nument	- T.B.2.C.	598
Transportation Naval	oyment	- T.A.6.	474
Units Shore Rased A	ir Defense. Marine	- I.B.2.b.	582
Aircraft	20201100, 13412110	And I make the Auto-	,,,,,,
Carriers, Marine Air.	Close Air Support	- I.B.2.a.	550
Engines Naval Air Lo	ngistics	- 1.A.O.	475
Flares, Naval Air, Equ	ulpment	- 1.A./.	496
Losses Fast Carrier		- 1.A.Z.a.	195
Marine Air Close Air	Support	- I.B.2.a.	550
Equipment		- I.B.7.	699
Airfield Sweeps, Fast Car	rrier Task Force. Evaluation		
of Weapons Systems		- V.I.	1629
Airplane		- 1.A.O.	474
Supply, Logistics, Nav	val Air	- 1.A.O.	474
Transportation, Logist	tics, Naval Air	- 1.A.O.	474
Alignment (See Gunnery A.	lignment)		
All-Weather, All-Visibil	ity Support, Close Air	- T R 2 a	
Support, Marine Air	ogistics	- T A 6	559
Allowances, Naval Air, Lo	Ogistics	- TT A A	473
Along Route Detachments.	Air Lift	- 11.00.40	LOCI
Ammunition		- TT.A.1.	1178
Delivery Fort Corrier	r	- I.A.2.a.	191
Uandling Fauinment	Marral Air = = = = =	- I AAA/A	486
Shing underway renla	nishment	- II.A.2.	1249
onithe' anderwal rebre	in Chimberto	CACIFICATION OF	

		Project No.	
	Amphibious (See Marine Amphibious and Ground Assault	V.4. V.4. V.4. V.4.	765 1644 1644 1645 1644 1644
	Definition and establishment of as a weapon system	I.C.2.	1644 735 1643
	Anti-Mine	I.A.2.h.	355 363 351 361 364 364 364 364 364 364 359
	Summary	I.A.2.h. I.A.2.h.	361 365 361
	Anti-Submarine Warfare Bibliography	I.A.2.g.	343433536335353333333333333333333333333
	Anti-Submarine Warfare Air Defense Appendix 1. Evaluation of TF 77 CIC Operations, November 1950	Main Report	11
	Areas Mined, Mine Warfare Area Control Center, Air Lift Armament, Ammunition, Equipment, Naval Air	I.E. II.A.4. I.A.7. V 1 I.A.7. I.B.2.a. I.B.2.a. I.C.2. I.C.2. I.C.2. I.C.2.	1089 1359 493 1629 496 486 550 554 711 725 735 737 724 714 733 719
-	Introduction	I.C.2.	726 719

	Project No.
Attack Force	I.C.2. 711
Material	I.C.2. 733
Operational command diagrams Personnel, training and adequacy of staffs	I.C.2. 725
Planning	I.C.2. 726
Summary	I.C.2. 716
Training UDT employment	I.C.2. 725 I.C.2. 732
Unloading operations	I.C.2. 728
Weapons, equipment, material	I.C.2. 733
Attack Naval Air	I.A.2.e. 303
Bibliography	I.A.2.e. 313
Conclusions	I.A.2.9. 306
Intelligence	I.A.2.e. 310
Introduction	I.A.2.e. 309
Jet field sweeps	I.A.2.e. 312 I.A.2.e. 310
Recommendations	I.A.2.e. 307
Summary	I.A.2.e. 305
Wonsan oil refinery raid Yalu bridge attacks	I.A.2.e. 312 I.A.2.e. 310
Authorized personnel, air lift	II.A.4. 1363
Aviation	
Fuel capacity, equipment, Naval Air Logistics of the future, Marine Air	I.A.7. 486 I.B.6. 684
Supply organization, Naval Air	I.A.6. 468
Bases	
Naval Air	I.A.8. 511
Bibliography	I.A.8. 515
Comments	I.A.8. 514 I.A.8. 506
FASRONS, Fleet Aircraft Service Squadron	I.A.8. 513
Historical summary	I.A.8. 509
Introduction Recommendations	I.A.8. 509 I.A.8. 507
Summary	I.A.8. 505
Supply problems	I.A.8. 512 I.A.8. 513
Fact Carrier Task Force Evaluation of	THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA
Weapons Systems	V.1. 1627
Basic Information and Materials, Air	I.A.5 455
Bibliography	10110)
Air Defense	T.D.L. 1060
anti-submarine warfare	I.A.2.f. 335
Air Intelligence Naval Air	I.A.5. 447
Air Transport, Marine Air Anti-Submarine Warfare	I.B.2.c. 608
WHOT-DUNINGLINE MOLITAGE	20110~000

		Project No.	
Operations	ostilities	I.D.2. I.A.1. I.A.7. I.A.7. V.I. II.A.3. IV II.A.1. II.A.1. II.A.1. II.A.1. II.A.2.a.	1009 1007 1009 998 1013 996 1009 1007 999 1011 1199 487 496 1629 1272 1563 1190 1189 177
Capabilities and Limitations Fast Carrier		I.B.2.d.	196 627
Carrier, (See Escort Carrier) Air Groups, Mission		I.A.2.c.	223
Spaces Assigned to Air Group Naval Air	s Eou I pmen t		486
Bibliography Blockade and Escort Equipment, Naval Air	broad	I.D.2. I.A.7. I.A.2.a. II.A.5. I.A.2.j. I.B.7. I.B.2.d. I.B.6. I.A.8. I.A.6. I.C.5. I.A.1. I.A.2.i. I.B.3. I.D.1. I.D.3. II.A.4. II.A.4. II.A.2.	403 704 628 686 515 476 923 159
Evaluation of Weapons System Evaluation of Weapons System Forces, Evaluation of Weapon System, deficiencies	of System	V.6.7.8.	1652 1652 1651 1654
Blockade and Escort Adequacy of Staffs Bibliography Command Relations Conclusions Definitions of Effectiveness		I.D.2 I.D.2 -I.D.2	1008 1011 1012 1011 997 1000 1013

Project No.	
Historical Summary	1000 989 1008 999 1010 1012 1007 1020 1012
Equipment, Naval Air I.A.7. Fast Carrier Task Force V.1. Casualties, Personnel, Marine Air I.B.3. Catapults, Equipment, Naval Air I.A.7. Cautions & Recommendations I.B.2.a. Charts, Intelligence II.C. Circuit Discipline, Communications II.B. Close Air Support	485 1628 651 486 559 1486 1446
PACFLT Interim Evaluation Report No. 1 Main Report Fast Carrier Task Force V.1. Marine Air	7 1628
All-weather, all visibility support I.B.2.a. Cautions and recommendations I.B.2.a. Command relationships I.B.2.a. Conclusions I.B.2.a. Development of marine close air support I.B.2.a. Discussion I.B.2.a. Equipment I.B.2.a. Historical summary I.B.2.a. Introduction I.B.2.a. Marine close air support system I.B.2.a. Operational techniques I.B.2.a. Ratio between marine air and ground units - I.B.2.a. Recommendations I.B.2.a. Summary I.B.2.a. Training I.B.2.a. Naval Air Close support control I.A.2.c.	559 5563 549 5559 5559 5559 5559 5558
Command diagrams 1.A.2.c. Communications 1.A.2.c. Conclusions 1.A.2.c. Close air support 1.A.2.c. Difficulties 1.A.2.c. Discussion 1.A.2.c. Historical Summary, Naval Air 1.A.2.c. Introduction, Naval Air 1.A.2.c. Maps, Naval Air 1.A.2.c. Mission of Carrier Air Groups, Naval Air - 1.A.2.c. Mosquito Availability, Naval Air 1.A.2.c. Night and All-Weather, Naval Air 1.A.2.c. Operations, Naval Air 1.A.2.c. Recommendations, Naval Air 1.A.2.c. Relative Effectiveness of Systems, Naval - 1.A.2.c. Suitability of Naval Air 1.A.2.c. Suitability of Naval Air 1.A.2.c.	228 226 232 232 2336 223 233 223 233 233 23

CECDET

Project No.	
Clothing and Small Stores Surface, Logistics	
Surface, Logistics	1188
Cold Weather Equipment Equipment, Naval Air I.A.7. Combat Operations Section I.A,B,C,D.	497
	159
Training, Naval Air I.A.4.	443
Combined Arms Evaluation of Weapons Systems V.5.	1646
Command Diagrams Blockade and Escort I.D.2.	1
Close Air Support I.A.2.c.	1005
Mine Warfare	226
Naval Gunfire Support I.C.5.	916
	710
Command Organization Fast CarrierI.A.2.a.	184
Command Relations Air Defense, Marine I.B.2.b.	
Air Defense, Marine 1.B.2.b. Air Defense & Anti-Submarine Warfare I.D.4.	584
Air Support Marine I.D.4.	1069
Air Support, Marine I.C.2. Anti-Submarine Warfare I.A.2.g.	724
Attack Force I.C.2.	353 724
Blockade and Escort I.D.2.	1012
Helicopter, Marine I.B.2.d.	628
Interdiction, Naval Air T. A.2.d.	287
Intelligence	1477
Joint, Major Command Relations III.	1537
Landing Force, Marine I.C.3.	807
Logistics, surface II.A.l. Marine Air I.B.2.a.	1178
NGF support I.C.5.	563
7 A	916
Organization T.A.l.	159
Patrol squadrons T A 2 3	389
PersonnelI.A.3.	407
Public Information	1564
Shipping Support II.A.3.	1309
Shore Bombardment I.D.1.	950
SubmarinesI.D.3.	1053
Underway Replenishment II.A.2. Command Involved in Intelligence II.C.	1255
Comments and Problems of Supporting Shing	1477
Comments and Problems of Supporting Ships, Naval Gunfire Support I.C.5.	921
Communications	721
Air Defense & Anti-Submarine Warfare I.D.4.	1069
Air Defense T.A.2.f.	334
Air Lift II.A.4.	1360
Air Lift Between Ground Stations II.A.4.	1359
Air-Ground Communications II.B.	1436
Air Search Radar	1451
Amphibious 1.C.4.	885
Amphibious I.C.2. Annexes II.B.	735
Anti-Submarine Warfare I.A.2.g.	
Evaluation of TF 77 CIC Operations.	353
9-13 November 1950 II.B.	1464
Operational use of Electronic Countermeasures	
by Submarines during the Korean War II.B.	1459
Authentication II.B.	1446

秦宋公司司司司

							Project No.	
Blockade and Escort Circuit Diagram No. 1 Circuit Discipline		-	-	-	-	0	II.B.	1011 1410 1446
Close Air Support								234 1449 1441
Conclusions	-	-	-	-	-	-	II.B.	1411
Electronic Countermeasures Maintenance Supply Equipment Air Lift Naval Air Systemal Administrative Communications				1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1	II.B. II.B. II.A.4. II.A.4.	1459 1463 1462 1360 1341 477
External Administrative Communications, Marine Corps		-	-	-	-	-	II.B.	1438
Marine Corps				111111111	11111111	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	II.B. II.B. II.B. II.B. II.B. II.B. II.B.	1627 1359 1425 628 1423 1455 1449 1415 1443 1448 562 159 373
Postal Affairs		111111111	111111111		1111111111		II.B. II.B. IV II.B. II.B. II.B. II.B. II.B. II.B.	1441 1449 1446 1439 1563 1449 1445 1445 1447
Ship-Shore Amphibious operations		1111111	11111111	11111111	1111111	1111111	I.B. II.B. I.D.3. II.B. II.B.	1434 1308 949 1434 1052 1454 1468 1462 1441

Project No.	
Underway Replenishment II.A.2.	1255
Weather Communications II.B.	1444
Concept of Operations, Landing Force, Marines I.C.3.	808
Conclusions on Supply Problems, Logistics, FMF II.A.5.	1379
Construction Battalions, Logistics, Surface II.A.1.	1202
Construction and Rehabilitation of Shore	
Facilities II.A.l.	1218
Control	- X
Organization, Close Air Support, Marine Air I.B.2.a.	555
Flights, Air Lift	1360
Coordination of Public InformationIV	1564
Convoy Escort Forces V.6.7.8.	1651
Evaluation of Weapons Systems V.6.7.8.	1651
Deficiencies, Evaluation of Weapons Systems V.6.7.8.	1655
Corsair I.A.7.	490
University Francisco Novel Aim	490
Vulnerability, Equipment, Naval Air I.A.7.	
Equipment, Naval Air I.A.7.	490
Endurance and Range, Equipment, Naval Air I.A.7.	491
Load, Equipment, Naval Air I.A.7.	491
Armament, Equipment, Naval Air I.A.7.	491
Countermeasure System, Mine Warfare I.E.	1109
Counter-Mining Operations I.A.2.a.	195
Fast Carrier I.A.2.a.	195
Naval air I.A.2.a.	1448
Cryptographic Aids, Communications II.B.	
D Day, Air Support, Marine Amphibious and Ground I.C.4.	883
D-1, Air Support, Marine Amphibious and Ground I.C.4.	882
D/l and Subsequent Air Support, Marine Amphibious	440
and Ground I.C.4.	883
Data Gathering Agency, Mine Warfare I.E.	1116
Data Gathering Organization, Shore Bombardment I.D.1.	950
Debarkation, Logistics, Marine Air I.B.6.	679
Decision to Lay Mines, Mine Warfare I.E.	1088
Definition and Establishment of Amphibious	
Attack Forces as a Weapons System, Amphibious	24.0
Attack Forces, Evaluation of Weapons System V.4.	1642
Defensive, Fast Carrier Task Force V.1.	1627
Defensive Measures, Escort Carrier I.A.2.b.	211
Defensive Measures, Fast Carrier Task Force I.A.2.a.	196
Defensive Patrol Around Surface Forces, Helicopter, Naval Air I.A.2.j.	
Helicopter, Naval Air I.A.2.j.	402
Deficiency, Major Areas of, Pacific Fleet	
Interim Evaluation Report Main Report	2
Deficiencies:	
Of Amphibious Attack Forces in Korea and	
Advantageous Situations Initially,	
Amphibious Attack Forces, Evaluation of	44.5
Weapons V.4.	1643
Equipment, Naval Air I.A.7.	485
Definitions of Blockade and Escort, Blockade	
and Escort I.D.2.	1000
Degaussing Facilities, Logistics, surface II.A.l.	1196
Design and Development Considerations.	
Helicopter, Naval Air I.A.2.j.	403
Development of Marine Close Air Support I.B.2.2.	549
Difficulties Encountered in Close Air Support I.A.2.c.	231
Difficulty in Facilities at Midway, Air Lift II.A.4.	1361
Discussion:	
Close Air Support, Marine I.B.2.a.	559
Training, Marine Air I.B.4.	665
Distribution:	
Of materials within the theatre of operations II.A.l.	1213
Personnel, Marine Air I.B.3.	650
ADDITION AND THE STATE OF THE S	

Project No.	
Drills (See Tracking Drills)	
Dry Provisions, Logistics, Surface II.A.l.	1187
Effective Weather Escort Carrier I.A.2.b. Fast Carrier I.A.2.a.	211
	700
Effectiveness Blockade and Escort I.D.2.	1013
Systems Relative Close Air Support I.A.2.c. Equipment, Naval Air AD Endurance and Range I.A.7.	229
Electronic	491
Countermeasures Communications II.B.	
Communications II.B.	1459
Operational use by submarines during Korean War II.B.	1459
Maintenance, Communications II.B.	1463
Supply Communications TIR	1462
Other than Communication Equipment,	496
Other than Communication Equipment, Naval Air I.A.7. Elements of FMF Logistics Support, Logistics,	470
	1377
Embarkation I.B.6. Marine Air I.B.6.	685
	685
I.C.3. Logistics, Marine I.B.6.	685
Employment	107
Employment Helicopter, Marine I.B.2.d. Helicopter, Naval Air I.A.2.j.	625
	202
Attack Force I.C.2.	733
Marine Air I.B.7. Aircraft I.B.7.	700 699
Bibliography I.B.7.	704
Conclusions I.B.7.	696
HelicopterI.B.2.d.	627
Introduction	699
Recommendations I.B.7.	697
Supporting equipmentI.B.7.	700
Summary I.B.7. Naval Air I.A.7.	695
ADI,A.7.	496
Armament I.A.7.	492
Endurance and range I.A.7.	491
Equipment I.A.7. Load I.A.7.	492
Performance I.A.7.	491
Summary I.A.7.	491
Toss bomb-sight in I.A.7. Air intelligence I.A.5.	495
Air navigation equipment I.A.7.	496
Aircraft flares I.A.7.	496
Ammunition handling I.A.7.	486
Armament, ammunition I.A.7.	493
Arresting gear and barriers I.A.7.	496 486
Aviation fuel capacity T.A.7.	486
Bibliography I.A.7.	497
Boats I.A.7. Bomb racks I.A.7.	487 696
Carrier spaces assigned to air groups I.A.7.	486

SECRET

William ...

										Project No.	
Carriers		_	24					M		T A 7	485
Catapults							-	-		T / 7	486
Cold worthon ocuinment			-	-		•	-	-	-	T A 7	
Cold weather equipment - Communications			-	-		-	-	-	-	I.A.7	497
Conclusions		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	1.A./.	496
Conclusions		-	-	-			-	-	-	1.A.7.	481
Corsair		-	-	-			-	-	-	1.A.7.	490
Armament		-	-	-			-	-	-	1.A.7.	491
Endurance and range -		-	-	-			-	-	-	I.A.7.	491
Load		-	-	-			-	-	-	I.A.7.	491
Performance		-	-	-			-	-	-	I.A.7.	490
Vulnerability		-	-	-			-	-	•	I.A.7.	490
Deficiencies			-	_			-	-	-	I.A.7.	485
Electronics other than com	mun	ica	ati	on	_		-	-	-	I.A.7.	496
Equipment			-	_			-	-		I.A.7.	496
F4U				-				_		T.A.7.	490
F9F		_	_	_	-		_	_	_	T. A. 7	487
Airplanes			5							Τ Δ 7	487
Armament									_	T A 7	489
Armament			_	-			-	-	ens.	I A 7	
As close air support ai	rpı	ane	28	-	-		-	-	-	1.A.7.	489
Endurance and range -			-	_			-	-	-	1.A.7.	488
Excessive weight of -		-	-	-			-	-	-	I.A.7.	490
Launching performance		-	-	-		-	-	•	-	I.A.7.	488
Maintenance		-	-	-		-	-	-	600	I.A.7.	490
Performance		-	-	-			_	-	-	I.A.7.	488
Rocket installtion in		-	_	_			-	_	-	I.A.7.	489
Vulnerability		-	-	-				-	-	T.A.7.	489
Fighter direction radar -		_	_	_			_		_	T.A.7.	487
Frag hombs		_	_				_	_		Τ Δ 7	494
Frag bombs Ground equipment							_	_	_	T / 7	497
Unlicenters		-		-		-	_	-	-	I • A • 7	
Helicopters Introduction		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	1.A.7.	492
Introduction	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	1.A./.	485
Landing performance	~ =		-	-		-	-	-	-	1.A.7.	488
Maintenance		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	1.A.7.	492
Napalm PBM	e. e	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	1.A.7.	494
PBM	•• =	-	-	-			-	-	-	I.A.7.	492
P2V	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	I.A.7.	492
Performance		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	I.A.7.	492
Recommendations	-	-	-	-			_	-	-	I.A.7.	483
Refueling and defueling ai	rcr	aft	;	-		-	-	-	-	I.A.7.	486
Replenishment at sea		-	-	-			-		_	I.A.7.	486
Requirements for enecial w	rean	one		_			2.0	22.00		T 1 7	493
Rockets Scope Search and rescue airplane Shaped charge bombs		-	-				-	_	-	I.A.7.	494
Scope 4		_	-			_	-		_	T.A.7.	485
Search and rescue airplane	9 -	_	-	_			_	-	_	T.A.7.	497
Shaped charge hombs		_	_					_	_	Τ 4 7	494
Special versions		_						_	_	T A 7	493
Summanus					7			-		I.A.7.	
Summary		-	-	-			-	-	-	1.A./.	480
											108
Survival equipment		-	-	-		-	_	-	•	1.A.7.	497
Tenders, repair ships		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	I.A.7.	487
20MM guns		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	I.A.7.	494
Tenders, repair ships 20MM guns VT fuses		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	I.A.7.	494
Weapons selection		-	-	-		-	-		•	I.A.7.	493
Escort Carrier, Naval Air											
Air Operations Conclusions		-		_		_	-	0	_	I.A.2.b.	209
Conclusions = = = = = =			-	-		_		_	_	T.A.2.b	204
Defensive Measures		_				_	_	_	_	T A 2 h	211
Historical Summary						_				T A 2 h	
Introduction			- '			-	-	-	-	T A 2 h	207
Recommendations	-	-				-	-	-	-	I.A.Z.D.	207
Recommendations		-		- '		-	•	-	-	1.A.Z.D.	205
Ship Operations		-				-	400	-	-	1.A.2.b.	208
Summary		-	-			-	-	-	-	1.A.2.b.	203

SECRET

Project No.	
Escorts, Underway Replinishment II.A.2. Establishment of New Airtransrons, Air Lift II.A.4. Estimates of Requirements, Logistics, Surface II.A.1. Enlisted Personnel, Naval Air I.A.3. Evacuation of Wounded, Helicopter, Naval Air I.A.2.j. Evaluation and Summarization of Intelligence II.C. Evaluation of Weapons System	1252 1362 1205 422 402 1484
Evaluation of Weapons System Air Defense	1640 1640 1640 1640 1627 1641 1641 1640 1640 1629 1647 1629 1628
Bases, Fast Carrier Task Force V.1. Blockade V.6.7.8. Broad V.6.7.8. Close V.6.7.8. Blockade Forces V.6.7.8. Blockade System, Deficiencies V.6.7.8. Bridge Attacks V.6.7.8. Bridge Attacks V.6.7.8. Fast Carrier Task Force:	1652 1652 1652 1652 1654 1629 1655
Capabilities demonstrated V.1. Capabilities not tested in Korea V.1. Close air support V.1. Communications V.1. Defensive V.1. Deficiencies V.1. Definition V.1. Development requirements V.1. Intelligence V.1.	1630 1628 1627 1627 1630 1627 1631 1627
Interdiction	1628 1630 1627 1628 1628 1625 1629 1627
Fleet Marine Force: As weapons system	1646 1646 1646 1646 1658 1655 1633 1636 1635 1637

	Project No.
Deficiencies revealed	- V.2. 1636
Definition	- V.2. 1634
Economy considerations	- V.2. 1637
Employment in Korea	- V.2. 1635
Future requirement	- V.2. 1637
Offensive	- V.2. 1634
Other possible uses	- V.2. 1636
Services	- V.2. 1634
Support Ships	- V.1. 1628
Surface Defense, Fast Carrier Task Force	- V.1. 1627
Evaluation Report, Pacific Fleet Interim: Aircraft Carriers and Marine Aircraft	- Main Report 6
Aircrait Carriers and Marine Aircrait	- Main Report 6
Air Interdiction	
Anti-Submarine Warfare and Air Defense	
Class Aim Suppose	TIGETT TOPOLO
Close Air Support Communications	- Main Report 5
Discussion of Sea Power, Air Power and	- Main Report
Ground Power	- Main Report 1
Foreward	- Main Report V11
Helicopters	- Main Report 10
Intelligence	- Main Report 3
Limitations of TF 77 Air Operations	
Major Areas of Deficiency	- Main Report 12
Major Areas of Deficiency	- Main Report 12
Marines	- Main Report
Mines	- Main Report 9
Naval Staffs and Personnel	- Main Report 12
Pattern of War	- Main Report 1
Public Information	- Main Report 11
Sea Power, Air Power, Ground Power	- Main Report 1
Shipping	- Main Report 4
Events as Logistics Background	- II.A.5. 1375
Excessive Weight of F9F, Equipment, Naval Air -	- I.A.7. 490
External Administrative Communications, Marine	- II.B. 1438
Corps Communications	- I.A.7. 491
F9F	- I.A.7. 487
Airplanes, Equipment, Naval Air	- I.A.7. 496
Armament, Equipment, Naval Air	- I.A.7. 489
As Close Air Support Airplanes, Equipment,	
Naval Air	- 1.A.7. 489
Endurance and Range Equipment, Naval Air	- I.A.7. 488
Launching Performance, Equipment, Naval Air -	- I.A.7. 488
Maintenance, Equipment, Naval Air	- I.A.7. 490
Performance, Equipment, Naval Air	- I.A.7. 488
Vulnerability, Equipment, Naval Air	- I.A.7. 489
Facilities: Inadequate, Air Lift	- II.A.4. 1361
Intelligence, for Obtaining	- II.C. 1480
Fast Carrier Squadrons, Operational Readiness,	11.0.
Training, Naval Air	- I.A.4. 444
Fast Carrier Task Force:	
Evaluation of Weapons Systems	- V.1. 1625
Canabilities not tested in Korea	- V.1. 1630
Canabilities demonstrated	- V.1. 1629
Deficiencies	- V.1. 1630
Definition	- V.1. 1627
Development requirements	- V.1. 1631
Military economy considerations	- V.1. 1630

SECRET

	Project No.	
Field Development, Mine Warfare Fleet Marine Force, Evaluation of Weapons	I.E.	1109
Systems	V. 5.	1647
Flight Service Facilities, Air Lift	II.A.4.	1361
FASRONS, Naval Air Bases	I.A.8.	513
Fighter Director Radar, Equipment, Naval Air	I.A.7.	487
Fleet Oilers	II.A.2.	1247
Flight Control, Air Lift	II.A.4.	1359
Flight Control and Communications, Air Lift Flight Equipment, Aircraft, Air Lift		1359
Flight Equipment and Material-General, Air Lift	TT A L	1359
Flight Hours, Air Lift	II.A.4.	1364
Forward Air Controller	I.B.2.a.	558
Frag Bombs	I.A.7.	494
Further Supply Problems, Logistics, FMF	II.A.5.	1379
Future Employment, Amphibious Attack Forces,	77. (26.0
Evaluation of Weapons General Background, Air Tranport, Marine Air	V . 4 .	1645
General:	1.D.Z.C.	597
Marine Air	T. B. 2. a.	552
Shore Bombardment	I.D.1.	945
Shore-Ship Communications	II.B.	1434
Training, Marine Air	I.B.4.	661
General Stores Material (GSM)	II.A.1.	1186
Generation, Movement and Delivery of Fleet Freight-	II.A.I.	1214
Grid Charts, Shore Bombardment Grids, Intelligence	T.D.T.	944
Ground (See Marine Amphibious and Ground)		709
Ground Control Intercept, Air Defense, Marine		581
Ground Equipment, Naval Air	I.A.7.	497
Gunfire Support, (See Naval Gunfire Support)	I.C.5.	909
Gunnery Alignment, Helicopter, Naval Air Guns, 20 MM, Equipment, Naval Air	I.A.2.j.	402
Harbor Defense Installation, Logistics, Surface -	1.A./.	494
Helicopter:	TI.V.T.	1203
Allowance, Naval Air	I.A.2. i.	400
Marine Air	VIEW OF BUILDING	May 117
Bibliography	I.B.2.d.	628
Capabilities and limitations of HO3S	I.B.2.d.	627
Command Relations	1.B.2.d.	628
Conclusions	T B 2 d	628
Equipment	I.B.2.d.	627
Introduction	I.B.2.d.	621
Logistics		626
Maintenance		626
9	I.B.2.d.	626
Supply	T R 2 d	626
Operations	T.B.2.d.	625
Personnel and training	I.B.2.d.	624
Operational command diagrams	I.B.2.d.	623
Historical summary	I.B.2.d.	622
Recommendations		619
Recommendations for improved models Naval Air	I.D.Z.U.	627
Anti-submarine warfare	I.A.2.j.	402
Bibliography	I.A.2.j.	403
Defensive patrol around surface forces	I.A.2.j.	402
Design and development	I.A.2.j.	403

SECRET

REPORTED TO

		Project No.	
Equipment		I.A.2.j. I.A.2.j. I.A.2.j. I.A.2.j. Main Report I.B.2.d. II.A.5.	496 402 402 402 402 402 10 617 1375
Historical Summary Air Defense		I.D.4. I.B.2.c. I.A.2.g. I.A.2.b. I.A.2.a. I.A.2.i.	329 1066 597 349 207 183 399
Logistics, Naval Air Logistics, Surface Marine Air, Logistics Marine Air, Operations Marine Air, Organization Medical Naval Air Bases		I.A.6. II.A.1. I.B.6. I.B.2.d. I.B.1. II.D. I.A.3.	467 1172 677 622 528 1519
Naval Air Organization		I.A.2.i. I.B.3. I.A.3. II.A.3.	165 379 647 401 1284
Shore Bombardment Submarines	-	I.D.3. II.A.2. II.B.	935 1047 1243 1429
Hydrographic: Features, blockade and escort Survey, Helicopter, Naval Air IFF, Communications Immediate Effect of Mining on United Nations Operations, Mine Warfare In the Air, Marine Air	-	I.A.2.j.	999 401 1455 1090
In the Air, Marine Air		1.B.2.D.	550 580 1362 1361 685 685
Adequacy		II.C. I.D.4. I.C.4. I.A.2.g. I.C.2. I.D.2.	1494 1494 1068 880 350 726 1008
Charts, Maps, Grids	-	II.C. II.C. II.C.	1486 1477 1473 1484

SECRE

SECRET

	Project No.	
Examples of Use of in Korean War Facilities for Obtaining Intelligence Fast Carrier Task Force	II.C. V.1. I.A.2.d.	1487 1480 1627 286 1487
Mechanics of Obtaining, Collating and Utilizing Mine Warfare Naval Gunfire Support Organization of Intelligence Sections Organization, Naval Air Patrol Squadron Period of Time Covered Personnel	I.E. I.C.5. II.C. I.A.1. I.A.2.i. II.C.	1477 1105 917 1479 173 382 1477
Amphibious ONE	II.C. II.C. II.C. II.C. IV. II.C.	1478 1477 1478 1477 1478 1478 1560 1475 1477 1477 944 1050 1472 1246 1485 1364 581
Interdiction, Fast Carrier Task Force Naval Air	V.1.	1628
Naval Air Conclusions Introduction Historical Recommendations Summary Introduction Air Defense Air Defense and Anti-Submarine Warfare Air Support, Marine Anti-Submarine Warfare Equipment, Naval Air Escort Carrier Fast Carrier Helicopter, Naval Air Logistics, Surface Marine Air Marine Air, Equipment Marine Air, Helicopter Mine Warfare Naval Air Organization Naval Gunfire Support Patrol Squadron Personnel, Naval Air	I.A.2.d. I.A.2.d. I.A.2.d. I.A.2.d. I.A.2.f. I.A.2.f. I.A.2.f. I.D.4. I.C.4. I.A.2.g. I.A.2.g. I.A.2.b. I.A.2.j. II.A.1. I.B.6. I.B.7. I.B.2.d. I.A.8. I.A.8. I.A.8. I.A.1. I.C.5.	278 281 281 279 277 329 329 3065 879 349 485 207 183 399 1171 677 699 621 1087 509 165 915 379 413
Personnel, Naval Air	I.A.3. I.D.1.	413 935

	Project No.	
Submarines	T.D.3. 104	7
Training Marine Air	T.B.4. 66	
Training, Marine Air	II.A.2. 124	
Itami, Marine Air	I.B.6. 68	2
Jet Field Sweeps, Attack, Naval		
Joint Publications, Communications	TT R 144	
Kimpo Airfield, Marine Air	T R 6	
Venner Hestilities CEE Creeiel Fostures of Lord	1.D.U.	
Korean Hostilities, SEE Special Features of Land	11 5 164	7
Combat Training, Evaluation of Weapons Systems	V.5	
Landing Force, Marine, Amphibious and Ground	т с з 83	5
	100000	1000
Command Relations Part C	1.000)	
Concept of Operations Part D	100000	
Concept of Operations Part C	1.000	
Conclusions	I.C.3. 76	
Embarkation Part C	I.C.3. 80	
Embarkation Part D	I.C.3. 83	15
Final Planning Part C	I.C.3. 80	
Final Planning Part D	I.C.3. 83	
Historical Narrative Part A	I.C.3. 77	5
The Landing and the Assault Part C	I.C.3. 81	0
The Landing Part D	I.C.3. 83	4
Operations of 1st Marine Div (Reinf) at		
Wonsan and in North Korea Part D	I.C.3. 83	2
Operations of 1st Provisional Marine Division	1.0.7.	
(Reinf) at Inchon-Kimpo-Seoul Part C	T.C.3. 80	17
(Reini) at inch on-Nimpo-Seoul Part C	I.C.3. 80	•
Operations of 1st Prov. Marine Brigade in	T C 3 78	ו
South Korea Part B	10000	
Operations Ashore Part D	I.C.3. 83	
Recommendations	I.C.3. 77	
Summary		
Landing Force Radio Equipment, Communications	II.B. 143	39
Landing and Assault, Marines, Amphibious and		
Ground Part C	I.C.3. 81	390
The Landing, Marine Amphibious and Ground Part D	I.C.3. 83	4
Landing Performance, Equipment, Naval Air	I.A.7. 48	8
Liaison.		
Intelligence	II.C. 148	7
Shore Bombardment	T.D.1. 95	0
Timitations:		
Escort Carrier	T.A.2.b. 21	0
Location, Mine Warfare	T.E. 110	9
Logistics		1-7
Anti-Submarine Warfare	T.A.2.g. 35	2
Blockade and Escort	T.D.2. 101	
Helicopter, Marine Air		
FMF, Bibliography		
Conclusions	II.A.5. 137	
Conclusions	II.A.5. 138	
Supply Problems	11.A.5.	
Elements of logistic support	II.A.5. 137	
Events as logistic background	II.A.5. 137	
Further supply problems	II . A . 5 . 13'	
Historical review	TT A 5 137	
Operations	II.A.5. 137	78
Re-supply of items peculiar to Marine Corps	II.A.5. 137	77
Summary	TT.A.5. 137	71
Marine logistics in Korea	II.A.5. 138	
Recommendations	II.A.5. 137	
	The result of the second secon	1



Project No.				Project No.	_
Marine Air				T R 6.	671
Marine Air				T B 6	680
Airlift Aviation Logistics of	the future			T B 6	684
Aviation Logistics of Bibliography	the ruture			T B 6	686
Bibliography				T P 6	675
Conclusions Debarkation				T B 6	679
Debarkation				T P 6	685
Debarkation				T D 6	679
Embarkation Embarkation				T D 6	685
Embarkation General				T P 6	685
General				T P 6	677
Historical summary -				T D 6	677
Introduction Itami				T. D. 6	682
Itami				T P 6	682
Installation Installation				T D 6	685
Installation Kimpo airfield				T P 6	682
Kimpo airfield Maintenance				T.B.6	681
Maintenance Maintenance				T.B.6	685
Maintenance				T D 6	684
Planning Recommendations				T P 6	676
Recommendations Summary				T B 6	674
Summary Mine Warfare				T F	1107
Mine Warfare				1.000	
Naval Air: Air logistic operation Air transportation				T A 6	471
Air logistic operation	ns			T A 6	475
Air transportation - Aircraft engines				T A 6	475
Aircraft engines Airplane transportati				T A 6	474
Airplane transportati	lon			T A 6	474
Airplane transportation Airplane supply Allowances				T A 6	473
Allowances				T A 6	468
Aviation supply organ	nization -			T A 6	476
Bibliography Conclusions				T A 6	464
Conclusions				T A 6	467
Conclusions Historical summary				T A 6	471
Logistics Packaging				T A 6	476
Packaging Recommendations				T A 6	465
Recommendations Repairs in forward an				T A.6.	475
					463
Summary Organization				T A 1.	159
Organization Patrol squadron				T.A.2.i.	373
					1563
Public Information Shipping Support				TT.A.3.	1308
					929
Shore Bombardment Submarines				T.D.3.	1051
Submarines				T.B.6.	674
Summary				T.B.6.	684
Supply			THE POLICE		
Surface: Accounting and finan	oing	1 2 2 2 3 3 3		· II.A.1.	1217
Adequacy of continent	cing			II.A.1.	1206
					1207
Ammunition				- II.A.1.	1178
Ammunition Bibliography				· II.A.1.	1220
Bibliography Boating				- II.A.l.	1199
BuDocks BuOrd				- II.A.l.	1190
BuOrd				- II.A.l.	1190
					1189
					1188
Clothing and small s Command Relationship	s in logist	cics		- II.A.l.	1204
Commend Retactonship					



	Project No.
Construction and rehabilitation of shore	2020
facilities	II.A.1. 1218
Construction battalions	TT - A - 1 - 1202
Degaussing facilities	TI A.1. 1196
Distribution of materials within the theatre of	
Distribution of materials within the theatre of operations	II.A.1. 1213
Dry provisions	II.A.1. 1187
Estimates of requirements	II.A.1. 1205
Estimates of requirements	11.A.1. 120;
Generation, movement and delivery of fleet	II.A.1. 1214
freight	11.A.1.
Harbor defense installations	II.A.1. 1203
Housing and recreation facilities	II.A.1. 1195
Logistic support organization as developed	225
during the Korean War	II.A.1. 1176
Mail	II.A.I.
Materials storage, issuing, and handling facilities ashore	
facilities ashore	II.A.1. 1195
Materials storage, issuing, and handling	
facilities afloat	II.A.1. 1194
Medical and dental materials supply	II.A.1. 1191
Mobile ship repair and salvage facilities	II.A.1. 1197
Overseas surface lift	TT.A.1. 1212
Overseas surface lift	TT.A.1. 1185
Personnel	TT.A.1. 1191
Reefer provisions	TT.A.1. 1187
Reeler provisions	TT.A.1. 1204
Requisitioning procedures Service craft	TT.A.1. 1199
Service craft	TT.A.1. 1198
Ship repair facilities ashore	TT A.1. 1188
Ships store stock	TT.A.1. 1188
Special winter clothing	11.A.1.
Specific treatment of logistic support by elements	
elements	II.A.1. 1178
Stores material general	II.A.1. 1186
Summary	II.A.1. 1219
Underway replenishment	11.A.1.
Use of advanced base components	II.A.1. 1218
Welfare and recreation material	II.A.1. 119.
Underway Replenishment	II.A.2. 123
Wonsan Airfield	I.B.6. 683
Logistics and Equipment, Marine, Air Support	I.C.4. 884
Major Areas of Deficiency Pacific Fleet Interim	
Evaluation Report	Main Report 1
Evaluation Report	TT A 1 1200
Mail, Logistics, Surface	II.A.I.
Mail and Personnel Transfers, Helicopter, Naval	T A 2 3 402
Air	1.A.2.J.
Maintenance	FILE WERE STEEL STEEL
Air Lift	II.A.4. 136
Equipment, Naval Air	I.A.7. 49
Logistics, Marine Air	I.B.6. 68
Helicopter	
Marine Air	I.B.2.d. 620
Naval Air	I.A.2.j. 40
Organization	
Fast carrier	I.A.2.a. 18
Naval air operations attack	I.A.2.a. 19
Major Command Relations	III 153
Maps	
Close Air Support, Naval Air	I.A.2.c. 23
Intelligence	II.C. 148
	TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABL

Project No.	-
Marine Air Air Defense I.B.2.b. Air Transport I.B.2.c. Close Air Support I.B.2.a.	571 591 543
Equipment Aircraft I.B.7. Bibliography I.B.7. Conclusions I.B.7. Introduction I.B.7. Recommendations I.B.7. Summary I.B.7. Supporting equipment I.B.7. Helicopter I.B.2.d. Intelligence I.B.5. Logistics I.B.5. Observation I.B.2.e. Operations I.B.2.e. Air defense I.B.2.c. Close air support I.B.2.d.	699 704 696 699 697 695 700 615 669 671 631 521 571 591
Helicopter I.B.2.e. Observation I.B.2.e. Personnel I.B.3. Training I.B.4. Marine Amphibious and Ground I.C.2. Air Support I.C.4. Attack Force I.C.2.	615 631 641 655 711 873 711
Naval Gunfire Support I.C.5. Educational Program Training - Marine Air I.B.4.	909
Mission, Evaluation of Weapons Systems V.9. Marine Countermeasures, Evaluation of Weapons Systems V.9.	1647 1657
Attack Force	1532
Logistics, Surface	1195
Blockade and Escort I.D.2. Conclusions II.D. Department Personnel II.D. Historical Summary with comments II.D. Material II.D.	1012 1514 1530 1519 1532
Mine Warfare	1116 1531 1528 1516 1309
Shore Bombardment II.D. Special Ships II.D. Statistical Data II.D.	950 1529 1530 1053
Supply Summary II.D. Summary II.A.2	1528 1513 1255
Medical and Dental Materials Supply II.A.1.	1191



	Project No	
Mine Countermeasures:		
Evaluation of Weapons Systems	V Q.	1657
Disadvantages Evaluation of We	anons Systems V O	1658
Material, Mine Warfare	apons bystems = = = T.F.	1084
Mine Warfare	T F	1081
Mand for Bosomeh Fundamentian	of Woonen	
Need for Research, Evaluation System	or weapons	1659
System	market	10)9
Mine Countermeasure Weapons, New	Techniques	1658
Evaluation of Weapons Systems -		1114
Mine Destruction, Mine Warfare -	1.E.	
Mine Reconnaissance, Helicopter,	Naval Air 1.A.2.j.	401
Mine Warfare:		1089
Areas Mined	<u>I.E.</u>	1116
Command Relations	<u>I</u> . <u>E</u> .	1100
Command Diagrams	I.E.	1115
Communications	I.č.	1079
Conclusions	I.E.	
Countermeasure System	<u>I</u> . <u>E</u> .	1109
Data Gathering Agency	I.E.	1088
Decision to Lay Mines	I.E.	1109
Field Development	I.E.	1081
General Recommendations	I.E.	1001
Immediate Effect of Mining on	United Nations	
Operations	I.E.	1090
Intelligence	I.E.	1105
Introduction	I.E.	1087
Location	I.E.	1109
Logistics	I.E.	1107
Medical		1116
Mine Countermeasure Material		1084
Mine Countermeasures	T E	1081
Mine Destruction	T.D.	1114
Mine Destruction	I.L.	1110
Mine sweeping		1091
Minesweeping in the Pacific,	946-1950 1.E.	1091
Mining	<u>I.E.</u>	1087
North Korean Mining Campaign		1007
Operations	<u>I</u> .E.	1106
Personnel		1104
Participation		1089
Participation of Russians	1.E.	1089
Predilection to Mine Warfare	1.E.	1087
Recommendations	1.E.	1081
Russia's Predeliction for		1658
Ship Characteristics	1.E.	1082
Source and Transport of Mines		1088
Suitability of Korea as a Mine		1087
Summary		1078
United Nations Mine Sweeping	perations 1.E.	1091
Mines, Pacific Fleet Interim Eval	uation Report I.M.	1081
Minesweeping Force, Balanced		1658
Minesweeping, Mine Warfare	I.E.	1110
Mining, Mine Warfare	I.E.	1081
Mining Operations, Fast Carrier		195
Mission of Carrier Air Groups -	I.A.2.c.	223
Mobile Ship Repair and Salvage Fa	cilities,	
Underway Replenishment	II.A.1.	1197
Mobile Support, Logistics, Naval	Air I.A.6.	471

Project No	•
Mosquito Availability, Close Air Support I.A.2.c. Movement Report System, Communications II.B. North Korean Mining Campaign, Mine Warfare I.E. Napalm, Equipment, Naval Air I.A.7. Naval Air	234 1442 1087 494
Bases I.A.8. Air base aquisition I.A.8. Conclusions I.A.8. FASRONS I.A.8. Historical summary I.A.8. Introduction I.A.8. Permanent Bases I.A.8. Recommendations I.A.8.	511 506 513 509 509 512 507
Supply problems	512 511 427 188 228 201 188 275 288
Command relations I.A.2.d. Conclusions I.A.2.d. Historical summary I.A.2.d. Intelligence I.A.2.d. Introduction I.A.2.d. Organization I.A.2.d. Organization for gathering evaluation data I.A.2.d. Operations, planning and tactics I.A.2.d.	287 278 281 286 281 282 287 282
Recommendations I.A.2.d. Summary I.A.2.d. Weapons I.A.2.d. Organization I.A.1. Personnel I.A.3. Training I.A.4. Naval Aircraft, Suitability of in Close Air Support I.A.2.c.	279 277 286 159 407 429
Naval Bombardment Forces Evaluation of Weapons System V.6.7.8. deficiencies	1655 1655 1443
Communications	1438
Naval Gunfire Support Bibliography	923 915 916 916 921 917 915 917 917 917 919 920 911

	Project No.	_
Naval Operations (See Naval Air Operations, I.A.2.		
Naval Staffs	TTT	1545
Naval Staffs and Personnel	111	-
PACFLT Interim Evaluation Report No. 1	Main Renord	12
Navigation Charts, Shore Bombardment	T.D.l.	944
Navy Command Relationships	TTT	1539
Necessity for Use of Amphibious Attack Forces	V./.	
Night and All Weather, Close Air Support	T.A.2.C.	1644
Night and All Weather, Close Air Support Night Operations, Fast Carrier	T.A.2.C.	228
Features of NGF support, Naval Gunfire	201102000	
Support	T.C.5.	918
Readiness, Training, Naval Air	I.A.4.	438
Statistics, Air Transport, Marine Air	I.B.2.c.	605
Techniques	I.B.2.a.	554
Use of Electronic Countermeasures by sub-		
marines during the Korean War, Communications - Administrative Command Diagram, Blockade	II.B.	1459
Administrative Command Diagram, Blockade		
and Escort	T D 2	1005
Administrative and Command Diagrams, Naval	Topono	
Air, Orgination	TAR	168
Administrative Command Diagrams, Shore	I.A.I.	100
Bombardment	T D 3	938
Operations	T.D.T.	770
Air Defense and Anti-Submarine Warfare	TRI	2060
		1068
Air Support, Marine, Amphibious and grounds	1.6.4.	880
Ashore Marine Landing Force	1.0.3.	835
Blockage and Escort	1.D.2.	1009
Logistics, FMF	11.A.5.	1377
Afloat	T 7 0	550
Aircraft	1.B.2.a.	561
Aircraft carriers	I.B.2.a.	562
Air Defense	1.B.2.a.	571
All-weather, all-visibility support	I.B.Z.D.	559
Ashore	1.B.Z.a.	550
Attack doctrine	1.B.Z.a.	555
Cautions and recommendations	I.D.Z.d.	559
Command Relationships	T P 2 2	563
Communications	T R 2 2	562
Conclusions	T P 2 a	546
Control organization	T R 2 a	555
Development of marine close air support	T.R.2.a	549
Discussion	T.B.2.8.	559
Equipment	T.R.2.a.	561
General	T.B.2.a.	552
	I.B.2.d.	615
Historical Summary	T.R.2.a	551
In the air	T.R.2.a.	550
Introduction	T.R.2.a.	549
Ratio Between Marine Air and Ground units	T.B.2.a.	559
	I.B.2.a.	547
Operational Techniques	TROO	552
Ordnance	T. B. 2. 2	554
Scheduling	T. R. 2 2	554
Summary	T R 2 a	545
Tactical Air Co-Ordinator	T. R. 2 2	554
Techniques	T. R. 2.2	554
The forward air controller	I.B.2.2.	558

SECRE

	Project No.
The Tactical air control squadron Observer Training With the ground forces Mine Warfare	I.B.2.a. 558 I.B.2.a. 550
Naval Air Air Defense	I.A.2.c. 217
Operations of 1st Prov. Marine Brigade: In South Korea	I.C.3. 832 I.V. 1650 I.A.2.d. 282 II.A.3. 1311 I.D.1. 945 I.D.3. 1050
Operations, Tactics, Techniques Anti-Submarine Warfare Patrol Squadrons Operations, Underway Replenishment Ordance, Close Air Support, Marine Air	- I.A.2.g. 351 - I.A.2.i. 383 - II.A.2. 1247
Organization Blockade and Escort	- I.D.2. 1012 - I.A.2.j. 400 - II.C. 1479
Marine Air: Bibliography	- I.B.1. 524 - V.5. 1647 - I.B.1. 528 - I.B.1. 527 - I.B.1. 526
Naval Air Air intelligence	- I.A.5. 447 - I.A.1. 173 - I.A.1. 174 - I.A.1. 174 - I.A.1. 162 - I.A.1. 165 - I.A.1. 173 - I.A.1. 165
Operational and administrative command diagrams	- I.A.1. 168 - I.A.1. 173 - I.A.1. 163 - I.A.1. 161 - IV 1553

Project No.	
Submarines I.D.3. Underway Replenishment II.A.2.	1055 1255
Other Items: Underway Replenishment	1255 1055 194 666 1212 492 1185
PR Annex (see Operation) I.A.7. P2V, Naval Air, Equipment I.A.7. Packaging, Naval Air, Logistics I.A.6.	492 476
Packaging and Handling, Air Lift II.A.4. Participation, Mine Warfare I.E. Participation of Russians, Mine Warfare I.E.	1361 1089 1089 1531
Patrol, (see Defensive Patrol)	373 391 389 389 376
Conclusions I.A.2.i. Equipment I.A.2.i. Historical Summary I.A.2.i. Introduction I.A.2.i. Intelligence I.A.2.i.	388 379 379 382
Logistics I.A.2.i. Material I.A.2.i. Operations, Tactics, Techniques I.A.2.i. Persomnel, State of Training I.A.2.i. Recommendations I.A.2.i.	387 388 383 381 377
Summary I.A.2.i. Tactics I.A.2.i. Techniques I.A.2.i. Weapons, Equipment and Material I.A.2.i. Performance Limits, Helicopter - Naval Air I.A.2.i.	375 383 383 388 401
Performance, Naval Air, Equipment I.A.7. Permanent Bases, Naval Air I.A.8.	496 512
Personnel Air Intelligence, Naval Air I.A.5. Air Lift II.A.4. Attack Force I.C.2. Blockade and Escort I.D.2. Deficiencies, Marine Air I.B.3.	447 1363 725 1007 651
Education, Qualification, and Training Naval Air	917 1477 1191
Marine Air Bibliography I.B.3. Casualties I.B.3. Conclusions I.B.3. Distribution I.B.3. Historical summary I.B.3. Personnel Deficiencies I.B.3.	652 651 644 651 647 651
Personnel Dericlencies I.B.3. Personnel problems I.B.3. Recommendations I.B.3.	647 645



Project No.	
Replacements I.B.3. Shortages I.B.3. Summary I.B.3. Air support I.C.4. Mine Warfare I.E. Naval Air I.A.3. Adequacy of personnel in ships I.A.3. Adequacy of personnel in squadrons I.A.3. Adequacy of staffs I.A.3. Command relations I.A.3. Conclusions I.A.3. Enlisted Personnel I.A.3. Historical Summary I.A.3. Introduction I.A.3. Cofficer Personnel I.A.3. Recommendations I.A.3.	419 414 427 410 422 413 413 414 411
Ships I.A.3. Staff's I.A.3. Summary I.A.3. Problems, Marine Air, Personnel I.B.3. Public Information IV Shipping Support II.A.3.	424 422 409 647 1559 1269
Shipping Support II.A.3. Shore Bombardment I.D.1. Air Defense and Anti-Submarine Warfare I.D.4. Shortages, Air Lift II.A.4. State of Training, Adequacy of Trained Personnel, Naval Air, Defense	943 1067 1363
Personnel, Naval Air, Defense	350 1255 381 1049 1528 624 402 945 195 196 196 401 1560 880 726 1009 677 917
Post-deployment Training, Marine Air I.B.4. Precedence, Communications II.B.	1446
Pre-deployment Training, Marine Air I.B.4. Predilection to Mine Warfare, Mine Warfare I.E. Preliminary Operation, Air Support, Marine I.C.4. Preparation of Enlisted Personnel, Air Lift II.A.4. Press	1087 882 1363
Communications	1439
Relations with Public Information IV Priority Screening, Air Lift II.A.4.	1561 1361

Project No) _
Provision Ships, Logistics, Underway Replenishment - II.A.2.	1246
Public Information	1,,40
AgenciesIV	25/0
Agencies IV	1562
BibliographyIV	1565
Brief History of Navy Public Relations IV	1557
Command Relations IV	1564
Communications IV	1563
Conclusions IV	1554
Coordination of Public Information at Theatre	1))4
Jordanation of Fubile information at meatre	
LevelIV	1564
DefinitionIV	1557
General IV	1560
Historical	1558
IntelligenceIV	1560
IntroductionIV	1563
Logistics, Equipment and Material IV	
Miscellaneous IV	1563
Objections	1563
ObjectivesIV	1557
Operations Order-PR annexIV	1562
Operations, Planning, Tactics, Techniques IV	1560
Pacific Fleet Interim Evaluation Report IV	1551
Personnel, State of Training, Organization,	
Adequacy of Staffs IV	
Public Information Agencies as a Source of	1559
Establishment Date and Agencies as a Source of	1
Evaluation DataIV	1562
Recommendations IV	1555
Relations with the PressIV	1561
Security IV	1561
Special Broadcast Facilities IV	1563
Summary IV	
Summary	1553
Summary of Public Information Activity in the	
Korean ActionIV	1558
War Communiques IV	1564
Publications, Communications II.B.	1449
Radar Calibration, Helicopter, Naval Air I.A.2.j.	
Rodin Francisco Discourse Plant Copter, Naval All 1.4.2.j.	402
Radio Frequency Plan, Communications II.B.	1445
Radio-Photo, CommunicationsII.B.	1444
Radmail, Communications II.B.	1445
Ratio Between Marine Air & Ground Units I.B.2.a.	559
Recognition and Identification, Communications II.B.	1447
Recommendations for Improved Models, Helicopter,	PER STA
Marine Air I.B.2.d.	627
Reefer Provisions, Logistics, Surface II.A.l.	
Refueling and Defueling Aircraft, Naval Air,	1187
Fault mont	
Equipment I.A.7.	486
Registered Publications, Communications II.B.	1447
Relations of Logistic Command Afloat and Ashore	
Diagram, Logistics, Surface II.A.1.	1178
Relations with Press, Public Information TV	1561
Relationships (see Major Command Relationships) III	1533
Repairs in Forward Area, Naval Air, Logistics I.A.6.	
Replacements, Personnel, Marine Air I.B.3.	475
Poplarishment (see Hyderen Berland Britishment Britishment Britishment Berland Britishment	652
Replenishment (see Underway Replenishment, Proj. II.A.)	
Fast Carrier Task Force V.1.	1628
Requirements, Escort Carrier I.A.2.b.	211
At Sea, Naval Air, Equipment I.A.7.	486
Requirements for Special Weapons, Equipment, Naval	
Air	493
Requisitioning Procedures, Logistics, Surface II.A.1.	1205
Re-supply of Items Peculiar to Marine Corps II.A.5.	
	1377



	Project No	0.
Results of Naval gunfire support	· I.A.7. · I.A.7. · II.A.4.	919 489 494 1357
Russian magnetic mines, High Sensitivity, Evaluation of Weapons System	. I.B.2.a.	1658 554 626
Scope, Naval Air Equipment	· I.A.2.j. · V.l. · II.A.4. · I.A.7. · I.A.7.	485 399 1627 1361 497 497 401 1561 1199
Service Squadron (See FASRONS) Shaped Charge Bombs, Equipment, Naval Air Ship Characteristics, Mine Warfare Ship Endurance, Fast Carrier Ship Operations, Escort Carrier Ship Repair Facilities Ashore, Logistics, Surface Ship-Shore Communications Ship-Shore Communications in Amphibious Cperations	I.E. I.A.2.a. I.A.2.b. II.A.1. II.B.	494 1082 188 208 1198 1434 1434
Shipping Support Bibliography Brief of Study Command Relations Communications Conclusions Historical Summary Introduction Logistics Medical Operations Organization for Gathering Evaluation Data Personnel Recommendations Summary Shipping Sumport Brief of Study Communications Commun	- II · A · 3 · · · · II · A · 3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1312 1272 1309 1308 1225 1284 1283 1308 1309 1295 1311 1311 1295 1278
Operational Readiness, Training, Naval Air Operations, Escort Carrier Personnel, Naval Air Store Stock, Logistics, Surface Shore Based Air Units, Air Defense, Marine	- I.A.2.b. - I.A.3. - II.A.1. - I.B.2.b.	438 208 414 1188 582
Shore Bombardment: Adequacy of staffs	- I.D.1. - I.D.1. - I.D.1. - I.D.1. - I.D.1. - I.D.1. - I.D.1. - I.D.1.	943 950 952 950 949 933 950 945 944 935

Project No.	
Intelligence I.D.l.	944
Introduction I.D.l.	935
LiaisonI.D.l.	950
LogisticsI.D.l.	947
Medical I.D.l.	950
Navigation Charts I.D.l.	944
Numbers I.D.1.	943
Operational and Administrative Command I.D.1.	938
Operations I.D.1.	945
PersonnelI.D.1.	943
Photography I.D.1.	945
Recommendations	934 950
Special Items I.D.1.	932
Summary I.D.1. Tactics and Techniques I.D.1.	945
Training I.D.1.	943
Weapons, Equipment, Material I.D.1.	948
weapons, Equipment, Material 1.5.1.	,40
Shore Fire Control Party Problems, Naval Gunfire Support I.C.5.	020
Gunire Support	920
Shore Targets, SEE Observation of	
Short Range Tactical Communications, Communications II.B.	1434
Shortages, Personnel Marine Air I.B.3.	648
Shortages, Personnel Marine Alri	Ogo
Size, operating space, accomodations, Escort Carrier I.A.2.b.	209
Sonar, Communications II.B.	1462
Sortie Performance I.A.2.a.	190
Source and Transport of Mines, Mine Warfare I.E.	1088
	2000
Special: Features of Korean Hostilities, Blockade	
and Escort I.D.2.	1013
Items, Shore Bombardment I.D.1.	950
Logistics Support. Fast Carrier Task Force V.1.	1628
Purpose Units I.A.2.a.	181
Ships. Medical II.D.	1529
Versions I.A.7.	493
Winter clothing, Logistics, Surface II.A.l.	1138
Specialized weapons system, Evaluation of Weapons Systems V.5.	
Weapons Systems V.5.	1647
Weapons Systems V.2. Specific, Training, Marine Air I.B.4.	665
Specific Treatment of Logistics Support by Elements, Logistics, Surface II.A.l. Speed, Escort Carrier I.A.2.b.	2200
Elements, Logistics, Surface 11.A.1.	1172
Speed, Escort Carrier 1.A.2.D.	209
Squadrons	105
Personnel, Naval Air I.A.3. Operations, Escort Carrier I.A.2.b.	207
Operations, Escort Carrier 1.A.2.D.	419
Personnel, Naval Air I.A.3.	417
Staffs T.C.2	725
Adequacy of, Attack Force I.C.2. Blockade and Escort Forces I.D.2.	1008
Personnel, Naval Air I.A.3.	422
	1545
NavalIII	- 10-
Air, Adequacy of I.A.1.	173
Personnel I.A.3.	1559
Public Information, Adequacy of IV.	943
Shore Bombardment Adequacy of I.D.l. State of Training, Public Information IV	1559
Statistical Data, Medical II.D.	
Chariatian Data Madian	1530



	Project No.	
Stocks:		320/
Adequacy of Continental	II.A.1.	1206
Adequacy of Pacific Overseas	II.A.1.	1207
Strikes at Specific Military Targets, Fast		
Carrier Task Force	V.1.	1627
Submarine Defense, Fast Carrier Task Force,		
Carrier Task Force Submarine Defense, Fast Carrier Task Force, Evaluation of Weapons Systems	V.1.	1627
Submarines:		
Administrative and Operational Command		
Diagrams	1.0.3.	1049
Surface and Covering	TDO	2000
Bibliography	T.D.).	1057
Command Relations	T.D.3	1053
Conclusions	T.D.3	1052
Historical summary	T.D.)	1044
Intelligence	T D 3	1047
Introduction	T D 2	1050
Logistics	T D 3	1047
Medical	T. D. 3	1053
Operations	T D 3	1050
Organizations for gathering evaluation data -	T D 3	1055
Other items	T D 3	1055
Other items Personnel, State of Training, etc	T.D.3.	1049
Recommendations	T D 3	1045
Summary	T.D.3.	1043
Weapons, equipment, material	T D 3	1051
Suitability of Korea as a Mine Proving Ground,	1.0.7.	
Mine Warfare	T.E.	1087
Summary.		200,
Marine Logistics in Korea	II.A.S.	1381
Non-Communicators, Communications	II.B.	1408
Analysis of VMR Employment, Air Transport,		
Marine Air	I.B.2.c.	606
Public Information Activity in Korean Action -	IV	1553
Supply		
Helicopter, Marine Air	I.B.2.d.	626
Logistics. Marine Air	I.B.6.	684
Supply Problems Naval Air Bases	I.A.8.	512
Supply Ships, Underway Replenishment	II.A.2.	1252
Support Carrier Task Force Composition		
Composition	V.2.	1635
Defensive Definition	V.2.	1634
Definition	V.2.	1634
Offensive	V.2.	1634
Employment in Korea	V.2.	1635
Other Possible Uses	V.2.	1636
Capabilities Demonstrated	V.2.	1636
Economy Considerations	V.2.	1637
Deficiencies Revealed	V.2.	1636
Evaluation of Weapons Systems	V . Z .	1633 1637
Future Requirements Services	V . Z .	1634
Conclusions	V . C .	1637
Supporting Equipment, Marine Air	T R 7	700
	T.D.10	, 00
Support Of Carriers, Naval Air Logistics	T.A.6.	472
Of Patrol Landplanes and Seaplanes		471
Of Transport Squadrons, Naval Air Logistics	T.A.6.	472
or transhore advantage was wit postsores		

of approximate F	roject No.	
Supporting Operations Communications I		PIE
Intelligence I	1.B.	1405
Logistics	1.1.0. T A 1 E	1490
LogisticsI	T D	1157
Surface:	T.D.	1511
Logistics:		
Administrative command diagram I	TAI	1176
Conclusions and recommendations I	T A 7	1176
Historical summaryI		1172
IntroductionI	T A]	1171
Logistic support organization as developed	T. H. T.	11/1
during the Korean war I	T A 1	1176
Operational command diagram I	T A 7	1177
Relations of logistic command afloat and	T. W. T.	
ashore diagram I	T A 1	1170
Summary I	T A 7	1178
Supporting operations I		1178
Defense, Fast Carrier Task Force, Evaluation	TeveTe	11.0
of Weapons System V	.1.	1627
Search Radar, Communications I	T.B.	1451
Cumfoco and Comming		14,71
Air Defense and ASWI	-D-4-	1059
Blockade and Escort I	.D. 2.	993
Shore Bombardment	.D.l.	929
Submarines I	D. 3.	1041
Survival Equipment	A.7.	497
Tactics and Techniques:		
Blockade and EscortI	.D.2.	1009
Shore BombardmentI	.D.1.	945
Tactical Air Co-Ordinator I	.B.2.a.	554
Tactics, Public Information I	٧.	1560
Target Effect. Fast Carrier I	.A.2.a.	191
Techniques I	.B.2.a.	554
Techniques, Public Information I	٧.	1560
Temporary Bases, Naval Air Bases I	.A.8.	511
Tenders		-
Naval Air, Bases I	.8.A.	513
Repair Ships, Equipment, Naval Air I	.A.7.	487
Toss Bomb-Sight in AD's I	.A.7.	495
Tracking Drills, Helicopter - Naval Air I	.A.2.j.	402
Traffic Coordination and Space Control, Air Lift - I	I.A.4.	40.0
Training	.B.2.a.	558
Activities of the Fleet Marine Forces, Marine Air	I.B.4.	662
Air Lift I	I.A.4.	1364
Attack ForceI	.C.2.	725
Blockade and Escort T	-D-2-	1007
Marine Air I	.B.4.	655
Conclusions I	.B.4.	658
Discussion I	.B.4.	665
General I	.B.4.	665
Introduction I	.B.4.	661
Marine Corps educational program I	.B.4.	661
Overall effectiveness I	.B.4.	666
Post-deployment training I	.B.4.	664
Pre-deployment training I	.B.4.	661
Recommendations I	.B.4.	659
SpecificI	.B.4.	665
Summary - I	.В.4.	657
Training activities of the Fleet Marine Forces I	.В.4.	662
LILLE UI THE OFFICE 1X60 PESETVES	- PC /	661

Project No.	
Naval Air	429 443 432 435 438 435 442 431 664 1441
Shore Bombardment I.D.1.	943
Trans-Pacific Employment - Air Transport, Marine Air	598 1361 1477 732
Underway Replenishment Administrative and operational command diagrams II.A.2.	1243
Bibliography II.A.2. Command Relations II.A.2. Conclusions II.A.2.	1257 1255 1241
Communications	1255
Escorts II.A.2. Historical Summary II.A.2. Introduction II.A.2.	1252 1243 1243 1252
Logistics II.A.2. Logistics, Supporting Operations II.A.2.	1237
Logistics, Surface	1252 1255 1245
Organization for gathering evaluation data - II.A.2. Other items II.A.2.	1255 1255
Personnel, state of training, organization, etc. II.A.2. Recommendations II.A.2. Summary II.A.2.	1245 1242 1240
Summary II.A.2.	1240
Weapons, equipment, material II.A.2. Supply Ships II.A.2. Provision Ships II.A.2.	1253 1252 1251
Ammunition Ships II.A.2. Fleet Oilers II.A.2.	1249
Operations	1247 1246 1246
Provision Ships II.A.2. Supply Ships II.A.2. Ammunition Ships II.A.2.	1246
United Nations Mine Sweeping Operations, Mine Warfare I.E. Use of experienced Pilots, Air Lift II.A.4.	1091
Use of Advanced Base Functional Components 11.A.1. Use of Amphibious Attack Forces in Korea V.4.	1218
Use of in Korean War, Intelligence II.C.	1487
Utilization, Helicopter - Naval Air I.A.2.j. VT Fuses I.A.7.	400 494

MASTER INDEX (Cont'd)

	Project No.
War Communiques, (See Public Informa Weapons	tion)
Attack Force	I.C.2. 733
Equipment, Material Air Defense a	and ASW I.D.4. 1069
Equipment and Material Naval Air,	Patrol
Squadrons	
Equipment, Material Air Defense & Warfare	
Equipment, Material - Blockade an	d Escort I.D.2. 1011
Patrol Squadron	
Shore Bombardment	
Submarines	
Underway Replenishment	II.A.2. 1253
Naval Air	
Naval Air Interdiction	I.A.2.d. 286

SPORE

SECRET

KOREAN WAR

U. S. PACIFIC FLEET OPERATIONS * * * * * * * * * * * *

COMMANDER IN CHIEF U. S. PACIFIC FLEET INTERIM EVALUATION REPORT NO. 1 COVERING PERIOD 25 JUNE TO 15 NOVEMBER 1950

VOLUME I

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

FOREWORD	. 8
INTRODUCTION	1
INTRODUCTION	ii
A. Pattern of War	iii
A. Pattern of War	
B. Sea Power, Air Power and Ground Power	
C. Sea Power and Air Power	
D. Amphibious Operations	
E. Marines	
F. Intelligence	
G. Shipping	
H. Communications	
I. Aircraft Carriers and Marine Aircraft 6	
J. Air Interdiction 6	
K. Close Air Support	
L. Limitations of TF 77 Air Operations	
M. Mines	
N. Helicopters	0
O. Anti-Submarine Warfare and Air Defense	
P. Public Information	
Q. Naval Staffs and Personnel	
R. Major Areas of Deficiency	
S. Remarks	

(BLANK)

CRET

KOREAN WAR

U. S. PACIFIC FLEET OPERATIONS

* ** ** ** ** ** **

COMMANDER IN CHIEF U.S. PACIFIC FLEET INTERIM EVALUATION REPORT NO. 1

COVERING PERIOD 25 JUNE TO 15 NOVEMBER 1950

PREFACE

Main Report, Volume I, reading time 50 minutes, is provided to give the reader a quick view of the most important factors in the Korean War applicable to the Navy and Marine Corps.

The fifty-three (53) project studies contained in Volumes II to X inclusive provide studies of a functional nature as noted by their titles.

The thirty-seven (37) chronological narratives in Volumes XI to XVI inclusive are those submitted by type and operational commanders and provide, in addition to the narratives, the problems faced by these commanders.

KOREAN WAR

U. S. PACIFIC FLEET OPERATIONS

* * * * * * * * * * * *

COMMANDER IN CHIEF U.S. PACIFIC FLEET INTERIM EVALUATION REPORT NO. 1 COVERING PERIOD 25 JUNE TO 15 NOVEMBER 1950

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

VOLUME I MAIN REPORT Foreword Introduction Major Features of the War in Korea VOLUME II 2. LIST OF MAJOR EVENTS IN CHRONOLOGICAL SEQUENCE 3. COMPILATION OF CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS INDEX OF INTERIM EVALUATION REPORT NO. 1. ______ 4. PROJECT STUDIES VOLUME III I. COMBAT OPERATIONS SECTION A. Naval Air (Other than Marine Air) 1. Organization 2. Operations a. Fast Carrierb. Escort Carrierc. Close Air Supportd. Interdiction e. Attack f. Air Defense g. ASW h. Anti-Mine i. Patrol Squadrons j. Helicopters 3. Personnel 4. Training 5. Air Intelligence 6. Logistics 7. Equipment 8. Bases VOLUME IV B. MARINE AIR 1. Organization 2. Operations a. Close Air Support b. Air Defense c. Air Transport d. Helicopter e. Observation

	3.	Personnel		
	4.	Training		
	5.	(Blank)		
	6.	Logistics		
	7.	Equipment		
, C.	AMP	HIBIOUS AND GROUND		VOLUME V
	1.	(Blank)		
	2.	Attack Force		
	3.	Landing Force (Including Land Operations of	f FMF Uni	ts and Air)
*	4.	Air Support		4
* ^	5.	Naval Gunfire Support		
D.	SUR	FACE AND COVERING		VOLUME VI
	ı.	Shore Bombardment		* " * "
	2.	Blockade & Escort Operations		
	3.	Submarine		
	4.	Air Defense & ASW		
E.	MIN	E WARFARE		
II. SU	PPOR	TING OPERATIONS SECTION	@: #	VOLUME VII
Α.	LOG	EISTICS	· · · ·	e g
	1.	Surface		
	2.	Underway Replenishment		
	3.	Shipping Support (By other than Pacific Fl	eet Force	a)
	4.	Air Lift		* "
	5.	Fleet Marine Force		
В.	COM	MUNICATIONS		VOLUME VIII
C.	INI	ELLIGENCE		
D.	MET	DICAL		
III.MAJ	OR C	CMMAND RELATIONS SECTION		VOLUME IX
IV. PUB	LIC	INFORMATION SECTION		VOLUME X
v. eva	LUAI	TION OF WEAPONS SYSTEM SECTION	÷	VOLUME XI
1.	Fas	t Carrier Task Forces		e *
2.	Sur	port Carrier Task Forces		

- SPORET
- 3. Air Defense
- 4. Amphibious Attack Forces
- 5. Fleet Marine Forces
- 6. Blockade Forces
- 7. Convoy Escort Forces
- 8. Naval Bombardment Forces
- 9. Mine Countermeasures

5. ANNEXES

VOLUME XII

- (A) COMNAVFE Staff History, 25 June 15 November 1950
- (B) COMSEVENTHELT Conf ltr rrr/Fl Al2 Ser 0181 dated 4 December 1950 (Subj: Chronological narrative of the Seventh Fleet related to the Korean War)
- (C) COMFAIRJAP Conf ltr CFAJ/ll/h A9 Ser: 096 dtd 24 November 1950 (Subj: Commander Fleet Air, Japan Evaluation Report, submission of)
- (D) COMFAIRWING 6 Secret 1tr CFAW-6/A9-8 10/JRC: asc Ser 0066 dated 28 November 1950 (Subj: Evaluation Report; Submission of Enclosure, PATRON SIX Narrative)
- (E) CO USS GARDINERS BAY Conf ltr AVP39/A16 FGR:rlr Ser 044 dated 2 December 1950 (Subj: Korean War Historical Chronological Narrative and Comments on Experiences)
- (F) CO USS CURTISS CONF ltr 3:WAC:fht AV-4/Al2 Ser 051 dtd 22 Nov 1950 (Subj: Evaluation Report, submission of)
- (G) CO PATRON 42 Conf ltr VP-42/A9-8 RLD: cn Ser 048 dtd 25 Nov 1950 (Subj: Evaluation Report; Submission of)
- (H) CO PATRON 47 Conf ltr FVP-47/A9-8/ks Ser 031 dtd 25 Nov 1950 (Subj. Special Historical Report; submission of)
- (I) FASRON 11 Narrative (Conf) undated
- (J) FASRON 120 Conf Chronological Report undated
- (K) NAF, Yokosuka Conf Chronological Narrative undated
- (L) WU-5 Det. Japan Conf ltr dtd l December (Subj: Historical Report; Submission of)
- (M) Commander Fleet Activities, Japan-Korea Conf ltr Ser 0183 dtd 6 Dec 1950 (Subj: Narrative of Fleet Activities, Japan-Korea, for the period 25 June to 1 November 1950)
- (N) COMTRACOMPAC Conf ltr Al2 (30)/Ser: 0449 dtd 21 Nov 1950 (Subj: Historical Narrative of COMTRACOMPAC ACTIVITIES)
- (0) Commander Underway Training Element Japan Conf ltr UTE 3923/jg A9 Ser 081 dtd 15 Nov 1950 (Subj: Historical information, submission of)
- (P) COMFLTTRAGRUSWESTPAC-UTE (Underway Training Element) SUBIC Conf ltr HBL:chb Al2 Ser 058 dtd 17 Nov 1950 (Subj: Historical Information; Submission of)
- (Q-1) COM UN Blockading and Escort Force (CTG 95.9) Conf ltr A9-8 Ser 039 of 6 Mar 1951 (Subj: Evaluation Information)

(Q-2) COMCRUDIV-3 Conf Ser 057 of 22 Nov 1950 (Subj: History of Task Groups 96.5 and 95.2 while under command of COMCRUDIV-3 during the United Nations Police Action in Korea) ANNEXES (R) CTF-77 (Report Air Operations) (Informal Copy) VOLUME XIII COMCARDIV 15 (Restricted) - Narrative of participation in the Korean Campaign (Part 1 - 1 July - 15 November 1950) ANNEXES VOLUME XIV (T) COMAIRPAC Conf ltr FF12-5/All ser 30/01302 dtd 22 Nov 1950: (Subj: Air Force, Pacific Fleet Evaluation Report, Part I, forwarding of) (U) COMAIRPAC Conf ltr FF12-5/All ser 30/01365 dtd 5 Dec 1950 (Subj: Air Force. Pacific Fleet Evaluation Report, Part II, forwarding of) ANNEXES VOLUME XV (V) COMADCOMPHIBPAC Confiltr ser 0106 dtd 20 Jan 1951 - Chronological Narrative Report (W) FLOGAIRWINGPAC Conf (undtd) Chronological Historical Report, Part 1 -Technical, Part II) (X) PACRESFLT Conf ltr (30-3n) Alo ser 0229 dtd 21 Nov 1950 (Subj: Chronological Narrative of Pacific Reserve Fleet activities during the period 24 June - 15 Nov 1950 (inclusive) related to or affected by the Korean War) (Y) Confidential Report of Hydrographic Office Representatives on Special Trip to Far East from 9 November to 26 November 1950 to study Map and Chart Problems arising out of the Korean Hostilities (z)FMFPAC Secret Report of Activities from 25 June 1950 to the Amphibious Assault at Inchon COMPHIBGRU ONE (CTF 90) Secret Ser 002 of 17 Jan 1951 - Report COMPHIBGRU-1 (AA) (CTF 90) report of operations for period 25 June 1950 to 1 Jan 1951 Commanding General, Aircrait, Fleet Marine Force, Pacific Conf ltr A9-1/JLM/44b Ser 076 dtd 24 Nov 1950 (Subj: Historical Report; Submission of) (BB) (CC) 1st Marine Air Wing, FMFPAC, Report Operations in Korea, 24 November 1950 Headquarters 1st Marine Division (REINF). FMFPAC. Conf Special Report, (DD) Period 1 August - 15 November ANNEXES VOLUME XVI COMSERVPAC Secret ltr 10: rag Al Ser 0091 dtd 21 Nov 1950 (Subj: "Evalua-(EE) tion of Logistic Requirements and operations, other than air", forwarding of - Historical Section, Phase I - Statistics, Phase II - Major Lessons and Features) ANNEXES VOLUME XVII COMWESTSEAFRON Conf ltr WSF:08:fg Al2 Ser 0329 dtd 22 Nov 1950 (Subj: (FF) Chronological narrative of Western Sea Frontier activities related to or affected by the Korean War, Part I - Historical)

(GG) DEPCOMSTSPAC Conf ltr MSTSP-21 Ce Al2 Ser 0190 dtd 20 Nov 1950 (Subj: MSTSP Chronological Narrative of Activity as affected by Korean War)

- (HH) DEPCOMSTSWESTPAC Secret ltr MSTSWP-2-mn Al2 Ser 0068 dtd 1 Dec 1950 (Subj: Evaluation Report; Part I Historical Narrative)
- (II) DEPCOMSTSMIDPAC Conf ltr MSTS-MidPac:00:gs A9 Ser 35-50 dtd 21 Nov 1950 (Subj: Evaluation Report No. 1)
- (JJ) COMSUBPAC Secret ltr Ser 00011 of 28 Nov 1950 (Subj: Submarine operations in Korean War; report of (Part I) (and COMSUBPAC Conf ltr Ser 0762 of 11 Dec 1950 (Subj: COMSUBPAC Ser 00011 of 28 Nov 1950; Downgrading of))
- (KK) COMCRUDESPAC Conf ltr FF4-5/FF4-9 A16-1 Serial 0107 dated 4 February 1951 (Subj: Readiness of destroyers departing for Far East areas)

PACIFIC FLEET INTERIM EVALUATION REPORT

Foreword

This Interim Evaluation Report was prepared under the guidance and supervision of CINCPACFLT, in accordance with CNO Confidential 1tr OPO3D; ly, Ser O15PO3D dtd 20 Sept 1950. In general, this report covers the period from the beginning of Korean hostilities, 25 June 1950 to 15 November 1950, but takes cognizance of major actions subsequent to the latter date until approximately 31 December 1950.

To the best of knowledge, no precedent existed for an overall concurrent evaluation of a major military effort in the Navy and no organization within the Navy existed nor were personnel trained to undertake such a task.

Interpretation of the basic directive for the evaluation of the Korean War effort, lead to the conclusion that three courses should be followed. These were:

- a. A recording in detail of what happened within the various naval operational and administrative commands in the $^{\rm P}$ acific.
- b. Establishing the difficulties, deficiencies, and problems as well as the successes of the various naval commands of the Pacific.
- c. The undertaking of detailed staff studies of functional components of the Navy with the view of submitting constructive recommendations for improvement.

In undertaking these tasks, the basic concept was held that it was the Navy's responsibility that its own house be in order and that it was neither the Navy's responsibility nor right to extend beyond those perimeters into other services except where naval operations were adversely affected.

Additionally it was not considered within the purview of the basic directive to inquire into national policy nor major strategy, nor to become involved in detailed technical matters for the administration of which adequate machinery already existed.

Accordingly, the major evaluation efforts have been concentrated upon systems that combined, represent the naval contribution to the national military potential.

Continuing Evaluation

cno's basic directive provides for a continuing evaluation of the Korean War effort. Based on the concepts outlined above it is considered that the Interim report and its various enclosures submitted herewith outline the major areas of deficiency requiring correction or improvement as well as indicating those areas of successful development that warrant maximum military exploitation. Accordingly, it is currently contemplated that the continuing phases of the evaluation will consist of assembling narratives of various naval commands in the Pacific, conducting a continuing review of the conclusions and recommendations submitted in this interim report in the light of subsequent events, and the making of a limited number of technical staff studies bordering on the OEG type, plus special project studies that may be warranted by subsequent events.

CHORET

Introduction

The chronological narratives of the various commands of the Pacific Fleet and the Naval Forces Far East appended hereto contain a wealth of information as to what happened, when it happened, and the problems and difficulties experienced by those commands.

The various project studies included within this report cover a multitude of subjects including Intelligence, Communications, Close Air Support, and others of considerable importance to the effectiveness of the naval service as a whole. Each of these reports contains its own conclusions and recommendations.

To the date of this report, the Korean War was peculiar in that there was no effective enemy opposition on the seas, in the air or by submarines. During the months of November and December, 1950, the principal opponents were the Chinese Communists, whose Manchurian base enjoyed immunity from attack although openly providing support and bases for active offensive operations against the United Nations.

Because of these unusual circumstances and the type of military operations resulting, it is recognized that had any of these major factors been changed, an entirely different type of conflict might have ensued. Therefore, there exists a marked hesitancy to attempt to outline major lessons growing out of the Korean War that would be applicable to future conflicts less circumscribed than the present Korean War. However, there have been developed to date certain major features that warrant very careful consideration as signposts for the future.

CECPET

PACIFIC FLEET INTERIM EVALUATION REPORT

Major Features of the War in Korea

A. PATTERN OF WAR

The Russian Nation from the time of Napoleon has evinced a high degree of aptitude for irregular and guerrilla warfare on land and later, defensive mining of sea ports. These methods on land were developed during periods of military adversity, and generally have resulted in ultimate military victory over what were considered relatively superior forces. The Soviets trained the North Korean Army, which demonstrated high capability in irregular and guerrilla warfare as well as a high degree of effectiveness in more orthodox types of military action. The Soviets are presumed to have given training to Chinese Red Communist Armies who have utilized the same types of tactics with considerable effectiveness. Chinese Communists engaging the French in Indo China have been using similar tactics successfully.

It appears reasonable to assume that Soviet trained satellite nations as well as the Soviets themselves will again use such tactics when forced to do so.

U.S. Naval forces, particularly Navy and Marine Air, should make every effort to develop ways and means of countering such actions particularly with interdiction weapons and techniques that will tend to reduce the necessity for major engagements between ground forces.

B. SEA POWER, AIR POWER AND GROUND POWER

Sea Power, Air Power, Ground Power, Amphibious Operations and the Marines all have been topics of serious military discussion since World War II. Modern schools of thought have deprecated sea power, amphibious operations and the Marine Corps and have exalted the potentialities of air power. None of the extremist versions of the modern school of thought have been substantiated by actual fighting in Korea.

Under the guise of economy and peacetime roles and missions, naval forces and the Marine Corps have been reduced to dangerously low levels with the Marines largely relegated to garrison tasks in War Flans. The future of amphibious operations has been denied. Perhaps if any major lessons have evolved from the Korean War, the most important are the complete fallacy of these modern schools of thought

There were no war plans for the commitment of the United States forces in Korea. Nevertheless we were committed. The traditional policy of the Navy of maintaining balanced forces in ships, aircraft and the Marine Corps has been proven eminently sound.

C. SEA POWER AND AIR POWER

Sea power and air power have one major characteristic in common in major wars. Each strives to eliminate or reduce to relative impotence its military counterpart in order that its full weight may be used against the enemy during the exploitation stage.

The first seven months of the Korean War have been peculiar in that neigher the sea power nor the air power of the United Nations forces have faced the necessity of eliminating their military counterparts. As a result, the full exploitation stage was available to the navies and the air forces of the United Nations from the onset of the Korean War. Had serious opposition been met, particularly in the form of submarine warfare and air warfare, the nature of the Korean War undoubtedly would have been entirely different, but since this opposition did not occur, the Korean War constituted in effect an almost perfect laboratory for the test of the exploitation abilities of both sea and air power as they currently exist.



SHORET

Sea Power

Sea Power again reaffirmed its vital importance to the United States by enabling the projection of much of the military strength that it had in readiness overseas against North Korean forces. It not only permitted the projection of our ground and air forces against the enemy in numbers that would have been impossible by any other method, but it also directly exerted its own considerable power in the form of withering ship gunfire support, marine ground action and naval and marine air action. It completely eliminated the possibility of enemy surface action against Japan and Formosa. It played a vital supporting role for both our ground and air forces. It transported to the combat area approximately 98 percent of the tonnage required to support our United Nation forces in action. It did not win, nor was it expected to win, the Korean War. However, it did enhance the military effectiveness of both our ground and air forces to an incalculable degree. Without sea power, the United Nations effort in Korea could not have taken place.

Air Power

The United Nations air power in Korea consisted almost totally of Air Force, Navy and Marine air augmented by a relatively few number of military aircraft of Great Britain, Australia and South Africa. It was supported by some commercial air support across the Pacific Ocean. In general, air power constituted a supporting arm to the ground forces. In that capacity, it was extremely important. In the first seven months of the Korean War, however, air power, enjoying almost complete freedom of the skies, was unable to prevent military reverses to our ground forces, generally was unable to deny enemy territory to enemy usage, locate or detect the development of large enemy concentrations on the ground, or to isolate a battle-field.

Ground Power

During the first seven months of the Korean War, the tide of war was determined by the success or reverses of our ground forces. Both the North Korean and the Chinese Communist Armies clearly demonstrated their ability to support themselves, concentrate and engage in major ground battles in spite of the best overall efforts of the United Nations air power.

D. AMPHIBIOUS OFERATIONS

In the Korean War to date, the Navy again demonstrated the incalculable value of amphibious operations. The withdrawal of an isolated and surrounded ROK division from Pohang without casualty during the early Pusan perimeter defense was little known or recognized, but, defended by the guns of the fleet, an implacable enemy was kept at bay and an entire division of our allies was saved and redeployed within our lines for further combat.

The Inchon amphibious assault landing of the 1st Marine Division on 15 September 1950 changed the entire aspect of the war against the North Koreans within a matter of a few days after the landing. The North Korean organized effort, on the verge of success of completely over-running the Pusan perimeter, completely collapsed. It was only the subsequent intervention of the Chinese Communist Red Armies that prevented the complete occupation of Korea and the cessation of hostilities. This historic landing, changing as it did the whole course of a war against the North Koreans, opened new vistas of strategy to many military leaders previously limited in vision to purely ground operations.

The equally historic Hungnam evacuation was an amphibious operation in reverse. CINCUNC determined as a matter of military strategy to evacuate northeast Korea. As the major part of the forces in this operation, under the guns and the aircraft of the fleet, the 3rd and 7th divisions of the U.S. Army and the 1st Division of the U.S. Marine Corps as well as approximately 91,000 refugees were evacuated without the loss of a man or a single useful piece of equipment. No corresponding operation exists in modern military history.

SECRET

E. MARINES

The story of the Marine expansion, hasty deployment from the United States to Korea and their subsequent amazing exploits in the first seven months of the Korean War adds new lustre to the proud traditions of the Marine Corps. Within a month's time the 1st Provisional Marine Brigade consisting of approximately 5,000 officers and men was organized and deployed some six thousand miles away and committed to combat in Korea. Within less than six weeks additional time, this brigade participated in three major actions, twice prevented a break-through that threatened the complete collapse of the Pusan perimeter, and in effect destroyed two entire North Korean divisions as effective military units, causing casualties estimated to be approximately twice the Marines' original strength. Upon completion of this amazing series of actions, this brigade reembarked in Navy amphibious ships prepared to participate in the Inchon amphibious landing.

In the meantime the remaining portions of the 1st Marine Division based on the West Coast of the United States numbered less than 3,500 officers and men. Within six weeks time this organization expanded more than three times its original strength from 105 posts and stations in the United States, from 2nd Marine Division units, and from its organized reserve. It constituted the only assault force in the Inchon landing. Later this same division, deployed to the Chosin Reservoir area in northeast Korea, decisively defeated and eliminated as military organizations six Chinese Communist Red divisions and detachments of four more divisions. Throughout this period neither the early 1st Provisional Marine Brigade nor later the 1st Marine Division ever retreated. As a matter of routine they advanced in the face of superior numbers and when directed by superior authority to withdraw for strategic reasons, they withdrew as intact fighting organizations with all of their usable equipment and wounded.

No descriptive superlatives can add to the tradition of the Marines as a fighting military organization.

F. INTELLIGENCE

Intelligence was either inadequate or, if adequate, improperly evaluated as to the original intentions of the North Koreans to attack the Republic of Korea and of the Chinese Communist Government to invade Korea and assume the brunt of the military effort when defeat of the North Koreans appeared imminent.

The difficulty of obtaining intelligence information from behind the iron curtain is widely recognized. However, in the Korean War the two major hostile participants, the North Koreans and the Chinese Communist Reds, are actually engaged in civil war in each of their respective countries. With civil war existing between the North and South Koreans and between the Chinese Communist Reds and the Chinese Nationalist Government, much valuable information should be forthcoming from friendly elements of these two nationalities. Judging from results of the past, more effort should be expended on collection of intelligence from these sources. A concerted effort must be made to obtain advance information of the enemy's intentions. Both the North Koreans and the Chinese Reds have displayed great adeptness at moving by night and supporting large bodies of men, in numbers sufficient to cause severe reverses to United Nations ground forces. To date the United Nations Air Forces, consisting of the United States Air Force, Navy and Marine Air, as well as small detachments from other nations, enjoying almost complete freedom of the skies have been unable to obtain tactical intelligence of the location or movements of hostile forces on an effective scale. The equipment and techniques necessary in obtaining such tactical intelligence are apparently completely inadequate at the present time. Recognizing that we may face such tactics on a much greater scale at any time, major research effort should be devoted to the solution of this problem.

The Far East Command is a "joint" command. At the beginning of the hostilities in Korea, the naval component of the Far East Command was negligible in size and staff, whereas the Army component, being the service designated to head up the joint command, maintained a large and functioning staff Intelligence organization. Although the staff of the Commander Naval Forces Far East progressively grew in size, there remained a general tendency for the Navy to rely upon GHQ G-2 for much in-

- TITULE

telligence essential to naval operations. There also existed on the part of GHQ G-2 a tendency to subordinate and channel the efforts of the intelligence sections of the Navy and FEAF staffs into G-2 and to promulgate from G-2 such intelligence information as G-2 considered necessary for the benefit of the other services. The principle should be impressed upon naval personnel that naval intelligence staffs are basically responsible to naval command to provide essential intelligence necessary for naval operations.

Combat air intelligence for the Navy was totally inadequate during the first few months of the campaign and still is well below acceptable levels. Ships and Air Groups lack trained combat intelligence officers. There is no experienced senior combat intelligence officer in the entire theatre to coordinate combat air intelligence efforts.

Although South Korea was occupied by U.S. forces for four years following World War II, there was almost no intelligence available of the type required by amphibious forces. This should serve as a lesson for the future.

SHIPPING

Although marginal in quantity, purely naval shipping for the resupply of naval surface forces functioned in a highly satisfactory manner under the control of COMSERVPAC and his subordinate echelons.

Support shipping for all the services in the Far East theater, a naval responsibility delegated to MSTS, was not efficiently operated due to factors beyond the control of MSTS. Excessive port times in both Japanese and Korean ports were experienced through no fault of naval administration. U.S. efforts in this regard were no improvement over World War II standards.

The control of MSTS shipping between ports in the Pacific and Far East was a function of MSTS. In accordance with the MSTS charter policy of delivery of cargo "Free on board" at port of destination, the control of this shipping for all practical purposes passed to the terminal operator, generally a U. S. Army port organization, for all loading and discharging operations.

The estimated delay in time charter ship turnarounds due to all causes in Japan and Korea between 1 September and 15 November, the period when excessive delays were experienced due to the heavy concentration of shipping in the Far East, was 4328 ship days. This loss represented sufficient shipping to lift 17% of total major cargo requirements from U.S.A. to Japan during the period of 24 July to 15 November

The basic causes for this delay in the turnarounds are considered to be the following:

The lack of adequate port facilities in Korea.

The lack of adequate stevedoring personnel and equipment to unload ships. The lack of adequate personnel, transportation and organization to efficiently move unloaded cargoes to dumps.

d. The lack of adequate roads and railroads in Korea to properly handly Army logistics, resulting in the need for coastal shipping for Army support.

e. The lack of sufficient numbers of efficient amphibious shipping types such as LST's to handle the coastal shipping problem.

f. Unrealistic scheduling of the arrival of resupply shipping in objective

In addition to the above basic causes there were, of course, numerous problems growing out of the interpretation at various staff levels among the services as to service authority and responsibility with regard to shipping. The Army in Korea set up the requirements for supplies and exhibited an inclination to specify how many and what types of ships should deliver these supplies but displayed inadecuate sense of responsibility for the unloading of ships and as to the resultant delays

in turn-around time. In addition, there was frequently indicated the desire to use shipping as floating warehouses. The Navy is on somewhat delicate ground in criticising this tendency too severely since the Navy itself sponsors mobile support for

its own forces.

ET

C. E.C.R.

The Congress of the United States authorized and appropriated funds for the construction of 50 fast (20 knot) merchant marine ships as a part of the overall rearmament program. This additional speed will be quite valuable from anti-submarine defense point of view and will reduce steaming time between ports. The full advantage of the additional speed and greater cargo capacity will not be realized unless shipping turn-around is improved. In connection with turn-around time, it should be recognized that the techniques and methods affecting expeditious loading and unloading in large ports with extensive docks and other facilities will not apply to shipping terminals lacking such facilities. In shipping, as in other endeavors, responsibility must be accompanied by positive authority to ensure that responsibility being discharged. The impression is generally held that the Navy (MSTS) has the responsibility for controlling the shipping for all three services. In actuality, at the present time, the Navy has only the responsibility to provide the ships and to control the ships between ports. After arrival in port the Navy has little control at the present time over how the ships will be unloaded or whether they will be unloaded or not, regardless of the effect this will have on the over-all shipping problem. A full and mutual understanding between the Navy (including MSTS) and the other services as concerns the exact responsibilities of each and full acceptance of responsibility for turn-around time of shipping will be mandatory if we are to attain improvement in future operations. Such understanding does not now exist. Although it is recognized that responsibility for port operations in Army ports and cargo handling now required by shipper or consignee is not a desirable or proper burden for the Navy, it is felt that much can be done within the following recommendations:

a. Undertake at Department of Defense level further study and review of the problems brought out in this report.

b. Initiate at Department of Defense level a program of indoctrination in the

requirements to improve shipping utilization.

c. Initiate at Department of Defense level directives which will insure that shipping priorities and requirements within a Unified Command are handled in asertion of a Joint Staff in which all services are represented.

d. Promulgate at Department of Defense level directives which will clarify the

responsibilities for unloading MSTS shipping in amphibious operations.

In connection with Navy shipping responsibility, the following considerations are pertinent:

a. It should be recognized and plans made accordingly that during war it will be necessary to send shipping to ports or open roadsteads in which fixed port facilities are limited or nil.

b. A certain amount of floating storage in many instances will be essential for support of the ground forces. It would be desirable to utilize the slow liberty type for this purpose.

c. In many instances in support of ground forces, coastal shipping and trans-

shipping will be essential.

d. Quick turn-around time cannot be effected unless adequate stevedoring and optimum equipment is available.

The shipping delays in the Far East during the Korean War demonstrated need for recognition of these basic considerations. It may be assumed that these considerations will be valid in other parts of the world lacking adequate port facilities in the event of war.

H. COMMUNICATIONS

During the first four months of hostilities, naval communications in the Far East area approached a chaotic state. Within every major naval command in the Far East and at Guam, large backlogs of classified dispatches developed, causing serious delays in delivery of important operational information and orders. The volume of traffic was so great that top naval commanders frequently could give attention only to action dispatches and little or none to information dispatches. These traffic jams had a snowball effect in the tendency on the part of all dispatch originators to assign higher and higher precedence and classification to their outgoing dispatches in the hope that such action would assure preferential treatment. It had, of course, the opposite cumulative effect in making practically all dispatch traffic of the same top priority.

Communications personnel were unable to determine among the mass of incoming encrypted dispatches which were important and which were not. With the fairly rapid buildup of naval forces in the Far East coupled with the critical military situation in Korea, a large buildup in traffic was to be expected. It is probable that this buildup could have been handled to a reasonable degree by naval forces had it not been for the additional back-breaking load of traffic thrown into the naval communications system by the Army and the Air Force. Literally, these services do not speak the same language as the Navy in terms of conciseness, assignment of precedence and classification of dispatches. A fairly reasonable state of affairs in naval communications in the Far East was not reached until COMNAVFE took steps to reduce the volume of Army and Air Force traffic on naval circuits. By far the greatest volume of Army and Air Force traffic on naval circuits originated from the intelligence branches of those services at various levels with each higher echelon originating new dispatches with the same basic and frequently unimportant information.

It should be recognized that these difficulties will be repeated in other theaters involving joint operations unless the Navy is prepared to assign liaison officers to Army and Air Force commands to advise those commands as to what information is of value to the naval service or to arrange to receive all incoming intelligence from Army and Air Force sources and to screen and condense this information for further distribution to naval commands.

I. AIRCRAFT CARRIERS AND MARINE AIRCRAFT

During the greater part of the first six months of the Korean War, Marine fighter-bomber squadrons operated from two escort carriers. The primary mission of these ship based Marine squadrons was close air support, augmenting the efforts of shore based Marine fighter-bomber squadrons. The operation of these scuadrons in their close air support mission was very effective, although the munitions carrying capacity of the F4U, with which these squadrons were equipped was one half or less per airplane than Navy dive bombers. In this regard, however, the F4U's were greatly superior in load carrying ability to jets. During periods of low wind, the take-off ability of the F4U loaded as a fighter-bomber fon close air support missions was marginal and in a few instances, it was necessary to further reduce the munitions load to assure safe take-off ability.

At the present time all Marine day combat squadrons in the Far East are equipped with F4U's with the exception of one squadron that received jets during the latter part of November 1950. All Navy fighter production for the past year or more has been of the jet type. None of the jets can operate from CVE's. As the present inventory of F4U's is depleted it will be necessary for the Marines to adopt dive bombers to continue their close air support functions in addition to jets for air combat missions.

Since neither the current dive bomber, the AD, nor any of the current or forseeable jet aircraft can operate from the CVE in its present form, and since CVE's constitute a large percentage of the carrier decks for future emergency, it will be necessary to re-evaluate our planned policies and programs for ship based close air support in the light of the numbers and types of CV, CVL and CVE which can be made available, and their compatibility for operations with the numbers and types of aircraft available and in the procurement program.

J. AIR INTERDICTION

There is widespread misconception as to the difference between the terms of air interdiction and armed reconnaissance. Air interdiction requires that the air effort be sufficiently continuous to prevent the assembly of hostile concentrations, reinforcement and logistic support against friendly forces. Armed reconnaissance on the contrary promotes intermittent or sporadic search for and attacks on targets of opportunity.



SECRIT

During the first six months of the war in Korea, the greater part of Marine air effort both shore and ship based was devoted to close air support. Some effort was devoted to so called air interdiction. Most of the effort of the fast carrier forces was devoted to so called air interdiction that in actual fact was armed reconnaissance. The box score of Navy air effort devoted to so called air interdiction was impressive but the combined results of all of the services in this effort was by no means decisive as evidenced by the fact that our ground forces met with frequent military reserves at the hands of their ground opponents.

Based on the results in Korea, it must be assumed that neither the techniques nor equipment of either the Navy or the Air Force is adequate to produce real air interdiction at the present time. At no time was air alone ever able to interdict a single battlefield against the clever tactics used by both the North Koreans and Chinese Communists of moving large forces by night and utilizing highly effective concealment by day.

It is commonly accepted that the United Nations ground forces will face a severe numerical disadvantage against Soviet and satellite ground forces if we become involved in a general war. Under those circumstances it is reasonable to assume that in a large scale war, naval air will be called upon to provide assistance to the ground forces both in the way of close air support and interdiction. If that assumption is valid, then it is most important that intensive research be undertaken by the Air Force or the Navy, or both, in an effort to develop positive means of locating concealed troops and equipment by day and moving troops and equipment by night.

K. CLOSE AIR SUPPORT

Close air support has been a highly controversial subject among the Air Force, Army, Marines and Navy. The underlying causes of this controversy are believed to involve the question of semantics and fundamental difference in concept between the Air Force on one hand and the Navy and Marines on the other.

The semantics differences are easily described. The term "close air support" as used by the Air Force generally applies to a type of operation considered by the Marines and Navy to be "deep support". The operations considered by the Marines and Navy to be "close support" is generally not engaged in by the Air Force. Broadly speaking, the two sides of this controversy believe that each is providing close air support, neither understanding the term as used by the other.

The essential differences in concept between the two sides of this controversy are that the Air Force believes "mosquito airplanes" are competent to find the target and control attack on those targets. Technically the request for close air support by the Army evolves from the ground forces, but the actual procedures are such that in general the "mosquito" is largely on his own.

The Marine-Navy concept on the other hand provides that front line ground troops through the medium of Tactical Air Control Parties designate the targets and control the strikes. The Marine-Navy system does not reject the employment of air observers in close air support operations. However, the Marine-Navy proponents insist that the air observer (mosquito), if used, be used only as the extension of the eyes of the forward ground controllers and under ground controller authority.

The Marine-Navy system of close air support has proven its effectiveness under visual flight conditions. It has not been particularly effective during darkness and conditions of limited visibility. As in air interdiction considerable effort should be devoted to the development of around the clock air support capabilities.

The opinion persists that the differences between the Air Force and the Marine-Navy proponents of close air support cannot be resolved until each use terminology with the same meaning and a common concept is adopted governing the tactical air control of close air support missions.

The Marine-Navy close air support system has produced positive beneficial results over protracted periods of time. It has produced high customer satisfaction

CECERT

on the part of Marine ground forces. The Marines and the Navy should continue to adhere to their system until a better system can be developed. Under no circumstances should the present system employed by the Air Force be adopted by the Navy and Marines.

Navy aircraft in the fast carriers undertook numerous close air suprort missions under both the Air Force system of control and the Marine system of control. Under Air Force control, Navy close air support missions were rarely effective. Under the Marine system of control, Navy close air support missions were very effective.

Up to the month of December 1950, Marine air squadrons were not provided with jets in the Korean War. All of the fast carriers engaged in the Korean War were equipped with at least one squadron of jets with the exception of one ship. As compared with the dive bombers of the fast carrier task forces, jets were highly inefficient in munitions carrying ability and endurance on station. For those reasons, jets were almost never assigned to close air support tasks. No current American jet aircraft is considered comparable to the current Navy dive bombers for close air support tasks.

L. LIMITATIONS OF TF 77 AIR OPERATIONS

During the first six months of the Korean War, Task Force 77 consisted of from 1 to 4 CV-9 class carriers with one cruiser and normally one battleship in the supporting group and a screen of destroyers. Throughout this period and under the conditions existing in Korea, the CV-9 carriers of this task force were able to orerate the three basic types of airplanes assigned to the air groups quite effectively. The three basic types of airplanes assigned to the air groups were the AD, F4U and the F9F jet fighter. The marginal takeoff factor of safety existing in the CVE's under low wind conditions did not apply to the airplanes operating from the fast carriers.

There existed two potentially serious Task Force deficiencies had the tempo of war operations increased materially. These were the low effective intercept ranges of task force radar for air defense purposes and the frequency of gasoline replenishment required by the fast carriers.

By actual test over a period of two weeks during the middle of November 1950, the best performance of the Task Force as a whole was a detection of 60% of raids (friendly) at 50 miles range. The Task Force was operating in circular formation without the use of pickets or airborne early warning aircraft. None of the ships in the Task Force were equipped with AN/SPS-6B model radar during the period of this test. Had the threat of air attack been serious it must be assumed that both pickets and airborne early warning aircraft would have been utilized. However, even utilizing pickets and AEW, the effective range of the shipboard air search, radar is entirely inadequate for interception of jet aircraft approaching at high altitude.

Reports of two destroyers equipped with model AN/SPS-6B radar indicate detection ranges between two and three times the average of Task Force 77. While the ranges obtained by these two destroyers may be appreciably better than can be expected in normal service, the reliability of detection of the AN/SPS-6B model radar is appreciably superior to that of the radars currently installed in the ships of Task Force 77.

Replacement of existing radar sets with AN/SPS-6B radar should be effected at an early date if the full capability of carrier based jet fighters for interception are to be realized.

The second deficiency that was clearly defined was the replenishment requirement of aviation gasoline by the fast carriers. The normal replenishment rate of the carriers was one day out of three thus automatically reducing the average availability of strength by 1/3. This is in direct contrast with the corresponding replenishment requirement of CVE's which was one day in 8 or 9. The loss of 1/3 of the effective combat strength of fast carriers in the operating zone is excessive, especially in view of the trend in close support and interdiction operations toward requiring aircraft on station around the clock. To some extent this same comment

is applicable in any type of fast carrier operations in which the carriers are confined to restricted waters. Carriers are more vulnerable to air ans submarine attack while replenishing fuel, ammunition and stores.

This deficiency points to the early need of appreciably increasing the gasoline capacity of the CV-9 class carriers.

M. MINES

Up through November 1950 10 United Nations ships were sunk or damaged by enemy mines in the Korean Campaign. In contrast with this number only five United Nations ships were sunk or damaged by enemy gunfire or bombs. All naval vessels in the foregoing numbers were of the destroyer type or smaller.

The first enemy mines were discovered off Chinnampo on the northwest coast of Korea on 4 September 1950. Intelligence indicates that the major minefields later encountered by UN ships were laid commencing in early September. Some 4,000mmines were shipped by rail through Wonsan. The preparation and laying of the mines at Wonsan were done under Soviet supervision according to intelligence reports. Those laid on the northwest Korean coast were handled entirely by North Koreans. The mines laid were predominantly of the chemical horned type moored mine and the magnetic bottom mine. No hydrostatic pressure mines were discovered.

The enemy mine fields were cleverly and effectively laid including moored mines in shallow water within six feet of the surface which made neutralization of the mine field very difficult and dangerous. Primitive and inexpensive but highly effective methods of laying these fields were utilized. Fortunately, the North Koreans had not undertaken extensive mining in time to affect the amphibious landing at Inchon on 15 September 1950. Subsequent to that date enemy mines in North Korea had the effect of delaying shipping logistic support to the 8th Army by denying the use of Chinnampo until after minesweeping had been effected, delayed the administrative landing at Wonsan by five days and required the clearance of the ports of Hungamm and Choggjin before these locations could be used by our shipping. In addition the mine threat forced our naval gunfire support ships outside the 100 fathom curve on the east coast and mineable waters on the west coast of Korea.

Due to the great difference in depth of water and tides between the east and west coasts of Korea, mine clearance was appreciablly easier on the west coast then on the east coast.

Frimarily due to the Navy's austerity program during the preceding years, the naval weapons system for mine countermeasures in the Pacific Ocean was totally intype commander or staff experienced in mine was discovered there was no mine force tive minesweepers in commission were very limited in number and those based on the west coast of the United States were employed principally in other than mine type activations. Experienced minesweeping personnel were difficult to find. Rapid rethis situation to an extent that permitted coping with the North Korean minefield, in the Pacific currently underway will further improve this situation but much larger expansion will have to take place before the Pacific Fleet is prepared for major

Numerous deficiencies in present minesweeping equipment and material were noted during the Korean operations. There were no mine locator ships in the Pacific. None of our surface ships, and only four of our submarines, were provided with mine detector equipment to enable them to avoid mines.

An innovation of the Korean campaign was the use of patrol seaplanes and helicopters for the location and destruction of mines and the use of aircraft to drop bombs and depth charges for counter-mining purposes. The location and destruction of mines by gunfire was quite effective and warrants continuation of this procedure in the future. The counter-mining of mines by bombs was ineffective and the counter-mining of mines by depth charges only slightly better.

Our present concept of operations for minefield clearance in offensive operations and the clearance of enemy mines from friendly ports will establish requirements for extremely large forces in the event of a general war. These requirements will be so great that it appears highly desirable to turn to research now in the effort to design new and economical approaches to the problem.

HELICOPTERS

One of the few new tools that has made its presence felt in the Korean War is the helicopter. For broad utility purposes, helicopters compare somewhat with the appearance of the jeep in the last war.

The helicopter itself has not been employed extensively in offensive military operations, but its utilization in support operations of many kinds has been so great as to assure its continuing requirement over extremely wide fields of employment in naval and ground warfare. Its important uses have included the following:

a. As a plane guard during carrier takeoffs and landings.b. As quick and easy transportation between ships of a task group and ship to shore movements thus reducing considerable requirements for courier duty on the part of destroyers.

c. As an airborne spotting platform for ship gunfire spotting.

d. For rescue purposes frequently behind enemy held lines ashore.

As an ambulance.

As a conveyance for the rapid deployment of patrols in ground warfare and for the servicing of these patrols with food and ammunition.
g. As a courier plane between various ground stations.

As an observation platform for ground observation.

i. Locating mines in minefields.

It is difficult to determine which of the above operations have the greater military value. From time to time depending upon the military situation, each of the various utilizations assume the greatest importance for the time being. Collectively, they make the helicopter of such great value as to warrant a permanent place in the list of essential military equipment of both ground and sea forces.

In addition to the above employments, there exists a widespread conviction that this type of aircraft will become of considerable military value when developed to the point to point not readily reached by surface transportation in ground warfare.

The speed and ease of transportation in helicopters as evidenced by its employment in Korea has created a widespread requirement for helicopter landing platforms. on numerous types of ships. These types extend to all combatant naval vessels having sufficient size to permit a landing platform, to hospital ships for direct delivery of seriously wounded, to amphibious command ships, various types of underway replenishment ships and LST's or similar ships for work in minefield clearance and carious types of spotting during amphibious landing operations.

The helicopter has established itself as an essential item of military equirment. At the same time, its oper tional limitations and the need of improvements have made themselves clearly outlined. The helicopter is a relatively fragile aircraft that needsconsiderable improvement in ruggedness. In addition it requires from four to five times as much maintenance per flight hour as the standard carrier aircraft. The models in service are exhausting to the pilot and permit almost no night or weather flying. Added to these shortcomings are the limited endurance and weight lifting capacity of current models, particularly at altitude.

In spite of these clearly recognized shortcomings the helicopter even at its present state of development is a recognized "must" for many types of operations. The number of useful purposes to which it may be pointed make it imperative that in future helicopter designs, the helicopter itself be so prototyped that it can be employed in as many different types of operations as practicable, using packaged equipment as necessary for any particular type of operation.

O. ANTI-SUBMARINE WARFARE AND AIR DEFENSE

There were no hostile submarine operations during the first six months of the Korean War. As a result, there were no operating lessons learned or deficiencies noted that are not already matters of knowledge to CNO. Had submarine warfare broken out, it is certain that the entire aspects of the war would have changed since our resources, principally in destroyers and destroyer vscort types and means for harbor and port protection were totally inadequate to face an all out submarine campaign.

No effective enemy air opposition developed. Accordingly, our air defense measures were not tested in combat. Air Defense measures were used to a partial degree in the form of maintaining circular anti-aircraft cruising formations at sea with day combat air patrols. Combat air patrols were also maintained over amphibious concentrations of shipping. However, radar picket ships and airborne early warning airplanes generally were not used for air defense purpose. Small Task Units of naval vessels and shipping operated along the Korean coasts away from fighter air cover.

The IFF situation was poor. The Mark 3 IFF used by United Nations aircraft has been compromised. Friendly aircraft frequently failed to use their IFF in the vicinity of our surface forces causing many unnecessary alarms.

P. PUBLIC INFORMATION

In few fields of endeavor were the naval forces in the Far East less prepared for war operations than in public information. During the first month of six weeks of the Korean war so little space in the press and time on the radio were given to naval operations as to leave doubt that the Navy was actively engaged against the North Koreans. The reason for this is quite simple. There was almost a total lack of public information personnel in the theater while at the same time operational commanders were engulfed in urgent operations. At that time, the public information personnel in the Far East consisted of one Lieutenant on the Staff of COMNAVFE assisted by a newly graduated journalist and one Commander on temporary duty on the Staff of Commander Seventh Fleet assisted by a journalist and an unqualified Photographer. This small force coupled with the communications log jam that immediately developed were able to accomplish very little during the early hectic days of the war.

Personnel-wise the situation gradually improved and with it came increased public information press and radio coverage as to the Navy's accomplishments in the combat zone. At the present time, the public information service from the Far East is generally quite satisfactory.

The Navy's first major operation, the amphibious landing at Inchon, and later the planned amphibious landing at Wonsan were badly marred by serious differences with representatives of the press as to treatment extended to themand facilities offered them for the transmission of their news stories. Several of these gentlemen wer vindictive toward the Navy and showed no reticence about stating that one way to make the Navy realize its mistreatment of the press was to keep news of naval operations out of the press

Groups of press correspondents are like other groups of men. Most are reasonable but a few are unreasonable and arbitrary. Navy personnel must learn to be broad minded in their relations with the press and to maintain good relations with this group.

A number of deficiencies of various kinds combined to create the unsatisfactory relations with the press at Inchon and Wonsan. One of the major deficiencies in this regard was largely unavoidable under the circumstances since there were inadequate accommodations in the limited amphibious shipping available. Closely related to the lack of accommodatiojs was the lack of radio circuits available to handle press traffic. These deficiencies combined with a lack of tact on the part of some naval personnel in contact with the press representatives and lack of public information officers to assist the correspondents resulted in the development of poor

THORET

relations. It seems probable that these same complications will rise again in each major operation that the Navy attempts to undertake as long as correspondents must be croweded aboard the important combat ships in the operation. With a rising level of combat readiness in both the Atlantic and Pacific Fleets, active press ships with those Fleets appear to be the best solution to prevent recurrences.

There still appears to exist an apathy on the part of numbersoof naval officers as to individual responsibility toward the building of good will between the public and the naval service. Increased educational effort in this regard and tangible acknowledgement by appropriate marking of fitness reports appears to be warranted.

Q. NAVAL STAFFS AND PERSONNEL

In almost every phase of naval operations during the first six months of the Korean War, the result of the severe austerity of personnel forced upon the Navy during the last several years is clearly evidenced. The buildup of new staffs, the necessary augmentation of existing staffs and augmentation of personnel for the operating forces was a haphazard affair constantly lagging behind the need.

The recall to active duty of Reserves to fill immediate needs is not the answer Reserves, officers and enlisted, cannot in justice to them be expected to step directly from civilian life into full scale military operations. As a group they regruie a transition and training period in the rear areas. Judging from the buildup that occurred in the facific and Far East, the Navy's available cushion of trained officers and men is inadequate to meet unexpected emergencies without severe strain.

R. MAJOR AREAS OF DEFICIENCY

In attempting this evaluation of the Navy's participation in the Korean War, wide coverage has been given to almost every phase of expansion in the Far East and to all major functions performed by the Navy in that area. This coverage has been handled in some detail in the project studies included in the following sections of this report together with the chronological narratives of various commanders of the Pacific Fleet and the Far East. Included in the project studies are a large number of conclusions and recommendations of varying importance in the overall picture. It appears desirable to boil these conclusions and recommendations down at this point to indicate major areas of deficiencies. These follow:

Conclusion 1:

Plans for hostilities in the Far East apparently did not visualize a limited action, without general mobilization, of the scope developing from the so-called 'Police Action' in Korea.

Recommendation 1:

Conduct a continuing examination for "Koreas" in other parts of the world. Prepare tentative plans on a priority basis for such other "Koreas".

Conclusion 2:

The provision of qualified and trained personnel (particularly staff personnel) lagged well behind requirements in the Far East.

Recommendation 2:

Develop plans for the provision of adequate initial personnel and increments of necessary trained personnel to implement the plans in recommendation #1, allowing adequate lead time for necessary specialized training.

Conclusion 3:

Equipment and techniques do not exist at the present time for successful air interdiction of enemy ground forces.

O EURO

Recommendation 3:

Undertake with the Air Force or unilaterally a research program for the development of new equipment and techniques to permit successful interdiction of ground forces.

Conclusion 4:

Our present methods of clearing enemy minefields is entirely too slow and expensive in forces required.

Recommendation 4:

Undertake a research program to develop new and relatively economical methods for the rapid destruction of minefields.

Conclusion 5:

The anti-submarine forces and harbor defenses in the Pacific and the Far East would have been inadequate to cope with the outbreak of a submarine campaign.

Recommendation 5:

Expand anti-submarine forces as practicable. Assign top priority to the plans for harbor defense and for reactivation of anti-submarine forces in the event of the outbreak of a major war.

Conclusion 6:

The handling of shipping in the Far East theater with regard to turn around time of ships was no improvement over World War II standards. The type of delays experienced would be extremely serious in the event of a World War.

Recommendation 6:

Undertake at Department of Defense level with representation from all services a thorough study of shipping utilization in the Korean Campaign, with the objectives of preparing directives which will establish basic concepts, ensure Joint Staff cognizance over shipping in Unified Command theatres, strengthen MSTS position, and emphasize responsibilities of shipper and consignee services.

Conclusion 7:

The landplane patrol squadron is currently not organized or equipped for deployment to advanced airfields that are under other than Navy control or support except for conditions of total mobilization.

Recommendation 7:

Develop the necessary supporting organization for patrol landplane squadrons which will allow them to be deployed to advanced airfields under other than Navy control or support during conditions of limited action such as existed in Korea.

S. REMARKS

In conducting a detailed examination of a major naval effort such as Korea, it was inevitable that a large number of deficiencies in plans, organizations and functions would be uncovered. Such was the case in the current evaluation of naval effort in Korea.

Lest the large number of conclusions and recommendations developed in connection with this evaluation lead to a misunderstanding of the Navy's total effort in that area. It is desired to emphasize most strongly that in spite of shortages and deficiencies of many kinds, the Navy's overall accomplishments in the Far East were of a very high order. There was no indication at any time, formal or informal,

on the part of the Commander in Chief, Far East or of his major subordinate commanders that the Navy's performance was not of the highest order. On the contrary because of the "can do" spirit continuously displayed by naval and Marine forces, there was and is considerable danger that the high command and top commanders of Army and Air Force in that theatre might expect the impossible from the Navy in any situation that might develop.

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION INTERIM EVALUATION REPORT NO. 1

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

1. MAIN REPORT	VOL I	III. MAJOR COMMAND RELATIONS SECTION	VOL IX
Foreward Introduction		IV. PUBLIC INFORMATION SECTION	VOL I
Major Features of the War in Kores		V. EVALUATION OF WEAPONS SYSTEM SECTION	AOT II
Major Features of the War in Korea INDEX OF INTERIM EVALUATION REPORT NO. 1		1. Fast Carrier Task Forces 2. Support Carrier Task Forces	
2. LIST OF MAJOR EVENTS IN CHRONOLOGICAL SEQUENCE	VOL II	3. Air Defense	
3. COMPILATION OF CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		4. Amphibious Attack Forces 5. Fleet Marine Forces	
4. PROJECT STUDIES	VOL III	5. Fleet Marine Forces 6. Blockade Forces	
I. COMBAT OPERATIONS SECTION		7. Convoy Escort Forces 8. Naval Bombardment Forces	
A. Naval Air (Other than Marine Air)		8. Naval Bombardment Forces	
1. Organization		9. Mine Countermeasures 5. ANNEXES	- V
2. Operations a. Fast Carriers			AOT III
b. Escort Carrier		(A) COMNAVEE - Staff History	
c. Close Air Support		(B) COMSEVENTHFLT Chronological narrative	
d. Interdiction		(C) COMFAIRJAP Evaluation Report	
f. Air Defense		(D) COMFAIRWING 6 Evaluation Report	
g. ASW		(E) CO USS GARDINERS BAY Chronological narrative	
h. Anti-Mine i. Patrol Squadrons		(F) CO USS CURTISS Evaluation Report (G) CO PATRON 42 Evaluation Report	
j. Helicopters		(H) CO PATRON 47 Historical Report	
3. Personnel		(I) FASRON 11 Narrative	
		(J) FASRON 120 Chronological Report	
5. Air Intelligence		(K) NAS Yokosuka Chronological narrative	
4. Training 5. Air Intelligence 6. Logistics		(L) VU-5 Det. Japan Historical Report	
/. Equipment		(M) COMFLEACTS Japan-Korea Narrative	
8. Bases B. Marine Air	VOL IV		
	AOT IA	(O) COM UTE Japan Historical information	
1. Organization 2. Operations		(P) COMFLTTRAGRUSWESTPAC-UTE Historical information	310
a. Close Air Support		(Q-1) COM UN BLOCKANDCORTFOR Evaluation information	
b. Air Defense c. Air Transport		(Q-2) COMCRUDIV-3 History of TG 96.5 and 95.2	
d. Helicopter		(R) COMCARDIV 1 Report of TF 77 Operations	VOL XIII
e. Observation 3. Personnel		(S) COMCARDIV 15 Narrative	VOD ALL
4. Training		(T) COMAIRPAC Evaluation Report Part I	VOL XIV
4. Training 5. (Blank) 6. Logistics		(U) COMAIRPAC Evaluation Report Part II	
6. Logistics		(V) COMADCOMPHIBPAC Chronological narrative	VOL XV
7. Equipment		(W) FLOGAIRWINGPAC Chronological Report	
C. Amphibious and Ground 1. (Blank)	AOT A	(I) PACRESFLT Chronological narrative	
2. Attack Forces		(Y) Hydrographic Office study of Map & Chart Probl	lem
3. Landing Force		(Z) FMFPAC Report of Activities	
4. Air Support		(AA) COMPHIBGRU ONE (CTF90) Report of operations	
5. Naval Gunfire Support D. Surface and Covering	VOL VI	(BB) CG AIRFMFPAC Historical Report	
1. Shore Bombardment	VOD VI	(CC) 1st MAW FMPAC Report of Operations	
1. Shore Bombardment 2. Blockade & Escort Operations		(DD) HDQ lstMARDIV(REINF) FMFPAC Special Report (EE) COMSERVPAC Evaluation of Logistic	
3. Submarine 4. Air Defense & ASW			VOL IVI
E. Mine Warfare		(FF) COMWESTSEAFRON Chronological narrative (GG) DEPCOMSTSPAC Chronological narrative	OL XVII
II. SUPPORTING OPERATIONS SECTION	VOL VII	(HH) DEPCOMSTSWESTPAC Evaluation Report	
A. Logistics		(II) DEPCOMSTSMIDPAC Evaluation Report	
1. Surface 2. Underway Replenishment		(JJ) COMSUBPAC Submarine operations	
3. Shipping Support		(KK) COMCRUDESPAC Readiness of destroyers	
3. Shipping Support		() compression as twentings of despitohets	
5. Fleet Marine Force	WAY WELL		
B. Communications C. Intelligence	AOT AILL		
D. Medical			

